



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	2015_EJMP_16597
Title of the Manuscript:	Synergistic antimicrobial and Antioxidant activity of crude saponins from Paronychia argentea and Spergularia marginata
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Latin name of plants, bacteria should be written in italic.</p> <p>In section Material and methods, the tested concentration range of plant extracts should be noticed.</p> <p>Table 4 and 5 prepared in the same manner, add ATCC strain numbers.</p> <p>What does mean NT in Table 4, 5, 6?</p> <p>The results for synergism should be presented in two tables, Table 6 for bacteria, Table 7 for yeasts</p> <p>Instead of 106CFU/ml, 103 cell/ml should be 10^6 CFU/ml, 10^3 cell/ml.</p> <p>The sentence " The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of essential oil inhibiting visible growth of the tested strains." should be deleted.</p>	All the comments raised are corrected in the manuscript
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		