



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	2015_EJMP_17429
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening for Biological Activity of Eleven Medicinal Plants Used in Traditional Arabic Palestinian Herbal Medicine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>INTRODUCTION</p> <p>Lines 20, 21 – you should cite the reference of this information. The same to lines 39 to 41.</p> <p>MATERIALS</p> <p>In Table 2 what do the expressions G +ve and G – ve mean?</p> <p>Which diameter (mm) a plant extract has to show to be considered effective? For example plant extract ranging from 12-17 are considered to possess strong activity, from 7-11 moderate, and so on...</p> <p>RESULTS</p> <p>In Table 3 what does PI mean? This information should come also in the results, not only in the material.</p> <p>Line 247 – correct anti-dematophytic</p>	<p>References were added as requested</p> <p>The full words were added for G +ve and G-ve in the table</p> <p>The activity of plant extract was expressed as % inhibition in comparison to the control</p> <p>The meaning of PI was added in the Table as suggested</p> <p>Corrected</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		