



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_19753
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL AND ANTIBIOTIC TRAITS OF (CRASSULA OVATA) JADE PLANT ON DIFFERENT STRAINS OF BACTERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		Change my manuscript from the International Journal of Plant & Soil Science (IJPSS) journal to European Journal of Medicinal Plants of which I agree to.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- In the title, be careful because the term antibiotic is define for chemical produced by microorganism, is possible to use antibiotic like activity. 2- Crassulaceae plants are MAC that produce mainly carbohydrates. 3- It is not clear if they use fresh plant material 4- For the aqueous extract how long was store before filtering. In the methanol extract, after it they do and aqueous extract. They mix the extracts ?. They use dilution not concentration of extracts. 5- For phytochemical screen is not clear how they prepare the extracts for each assay. 6- 6 mm of inhibition is not a strong activity, even because they do not use a positive antibiotic for the comparison. 	<p>Title changed to "PHYTOCHEMICAL AND ANTIBIOTIC LIKE ACTIVITY OF (CRASSULA OVATA) JADE PLANT ON DIFFERENT STRAINS OF BACTERIA"</p> <p>All minor revisions have been carefully corrected.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It has interesting results but some things have to be rewrite carefully	I tried my best to rewrite the manuscript