

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	2015_EJMP_17429
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening for Biological Activity of Eleven Medicinal Plants Used in Traditional Arabic Palestinian Herbal Medicine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript lacks the data related to chemical standardisation (TLC, HPLC, HPLC/MS or HPLC/NMR fingerprints) is a substantial flaw. Standardization is required in order to assure reproducible pharmacological activity and efficacy of herbal preparations. The author should show these data at least fruits of Rhus coriaria. They should show the data of drug toxicity.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Japan