<u>Original Research Article</u> Hepatoprotective effectof aqueousextract of *Lippiamultiflora*leaves against ethanol-induced toxicity in wistar rats

Abstract

Aim:Usually called Tea of Gambia, *Lippiamultiflora* is traditionally used for its sedative, relaxing, febrifuges, anti-flu-like, antispasmodic, hypotensive, anti-inflammatory, anti-catarrhal, mucolytique, anti-infective, hepatoprotective properties. The present study was carried out to evaluate the hepatoprotective effect of aqueous extractof *Lippiamultiflora*leavesagainst ethanol induced toxicity in rat livers.

Study design:ThirtyWistar albino rats (100-162 g) were divided into six groups of five animals. Group 1 served as control and received only distilled water. Group 2 received only 15%ethanol (3 mL/100 g body weight/day). Group 3 served as standard group and received silymarin (70 mg/kg b.w.). Groups 4, 5 and 6 served as extract treatment groups and received respectively 100, 300 and 900 mg/kg of *L. multiflora*aqueous extract. 15% ethanol (3mL/100 g b.w./day) was administered 1h after treatment in groups 3, 4, 5 and 6.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was carried out in Laboratory of Biochemical Pharmacodymamy, Felix Houphouet-Boigny University of Cocody-Abidjan (Côte d'Ivoire) between September and November 2014.

Methodology:All treatment protocols followed 28 days. Animals received daily doses and were observed for psychomotor changes and other signs of toxicity including death throughout the period of study.At the day 7, 14, 21 and 28 of experimental period, blood samples were collected from retro-orbital venous plexus in non-heparinized tubes and the serum levels of liver marker enzymes, biochemical analyses and hematological parameters were monitored.

Results:*L. multiflora*extract (300 and 900 mg/kg b.w.) provides significant protection(P<0.05) against ethanol induced toxicity in rat livers revealed by reduction of enzymatic parameters activities (ALT, AST and GGT). Additionally, hematological parameters revealed a significant changed (P<0.05)markedly in *L. multiflora*extract(900 mg/kg) compared to 15% ethanol group.Histopathologicalstudy shows a normal hepatic architecture with dilated congested in *L. multiflora*extract (300 and 900 mg/kg b.w.) compared to 15% ethanol group (make by fibrosis).

Conclusion:Our results prove that *L. multiflora* extract has protective effects against ethanolinduced toxicity.

Keywords: Lippiamultiflora, ethanol, Hepatoprotective effect, histopathology.

1-INTRODUCTION

*Lippiamultiflora*Moldenke also known as *Lippiaadoensis*Hochst is an herbaceous plant of the genus *Lippia*. It belongs to the family Verbanaceae, which is composed of 41 genera with approximately 220 species of herbs, shrubs and small trees[1,2,3].*L. multiflora*is a stout woody, perennial and aromatic shrub mainly distributed throughout tropical Africa, South and Central American countries[4].The distribution range of *L. multiflora*has its major concentrations in Guinea Savannah, Forest Savannah and Transitional and Coastal Savannah zones. This plant is commonly known as Lippia tea and commercially known as "Gambian Tea Bush", "Bush Tea"and "Healer Herb" [5]. *L. multiflora*has been

used in many traditional and herbal medicines to treat bronchial inflammation, malaria fever, conjunctivitis, gastro-intestinal disturbances, enteritis, coughs and colds[4], and possesses hypotensive, fatigue relieving, and diuretic properties[6]. Some rural dwellers cook the herbs and use it to relieve stress and enhance sleep [7]. The tea is also used traditionally against hypertension, venereal diseases and as a laxative [5]. One of the characteristics of *Lippiamultiflora*aqueous extract is its wealth in polyphenols, flavonoids and tannins. Some researchers on green teas and black teas indicate that phenolical compounds, exactly flavonoids have antioxidant properties[8, 9]. Also, literary review reveals that *Lippiamultiflora*aqueous extract would have hepatoprotective properties unconfirmed scientifically [10].

People in West and central African communities use savannah tea like drinker for its aroma. The leaves of savannahtea are generally consumed in the form of hot drink. Through its values and commercialization in Côte d'Ivoire[11], the use of *L.multiflora* is drunk from rural and urban areas.

Herbal medicinal products play an important role in the management of liver diseases for the lack of satisfactory liver protective drugs in allopathic medical practices. Searching for hepatoprotective drugs with high efficacy and safety is of great need. In regard of the popular consumption of *L. multiflora* as a tea, the present work aimed to study the effects of the aqueous extract of this plant against ethanolinduced liver damages in rats. For this purpose, some liver biochemical markers, hematological parameters and histopathological examination were investigated.

2-MATERIALS AND METHODS

2-1-Extraction methodology

Fresh leaves of *L. multiflora* were washed and air-dried in shade for one week. The dried samples were later powdered using a mechanical grinder to obtain a coarse powder. One hundred (100)gof powdered leaves was boiled in 1L of distilled water for 15 min. The aqueous solution was then filtered through Whatman filter paper (3 mm) and dried with a vacuum evaporator below 40°C. The resultant extract constitutes the aqueous extractof *L. multiflora*[12].

2-2-Administration of the treatment

Thirty wistar rats were randomly distributed into six groups of five animals each. Group I served as the control and received only distilled water. Group 2 received only 15% ethanol (3mL/100g/day p.o.). Group 3 served as standard group and received silymarin (70mg/kg p.o.). Groups 4, 5 and 6 served as extract treatment groups and received 100,300 and 900 mg/kg of *L. multiflora*aqueous extract. 15% ethanol (3mL/100g/day p.o.) was administered 1h after treatment in groups 3, 4, 5 and 6. Animals received daily doses for 28 days and were observed daily for psychomotor changes and other signs of toxicity including death throughout the period of study.

All the experimental procedures were approved by the Ethical Committee of Health Sciences, Felix Houphouet-Boigny University of Abidjan. These guidelines were in accordance with the European Council Legislation 87/607/EEC for the protection of experimental animals.

Body weights of rats in all groups were measured at the beginning, the day 7, day 14, day 21 and the end (day 28) of the experimentation. In addition, the weight gains were calculated using theses equations:

Weight gain (g) at day 7 = weight at day 7 - initial weight (day 0)

Weight gain (g) at day 14 = weight at day 14 - initial weight (day 7)

Weight gain (g) at day 21 = weight at day 21 - initial weight (day 14)

Weight gain (g) at day 28 = weight at day 28 - initial weight (day 21)

While the body weight changes (%) were calculated using the following equation: Body weight change (%) = ((final b.w. - initial b.w.) / initial b.w.) x100.

2-3-Biochemical estimation

At the days 7, 14, 21 and 28 of experimental period, blood samples were collected from retro-orbital venous plexus in non-heparinized tubes, centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min. Serum was collected and stored at 4°C prior immediate determination of enzymatic parameters (ALT, AST, GGT) and substrates parameters (CRP and TG). All of these parameters were measured using Chemistry Analyzer (SFRI BSA-300).

2-4-Hematological studies

At the end of the study (day 28),blood samples were collected in ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA) coated bottles. Samples were then analyzed for the assessment of the number of red blood cells (RBCs), white blood cells (WBCs) and platelets,and mean cell volume (MCV), according to standard methods using a Blood Counter (Urit Coulter).

2-5-Histopathological examination

Rats were sacrificed and liver was separated, washed in ringer's solutionand stored during one month in 10% formalin before histological analysis. For microscopic examination, sections were taken from liver tissues, dehydrated in gradual ethanol, cleared in toluene and infiltrated in paraffinusing automatic tissue processor. After routine processing, paraffin sections of each tissue were cut into 4 µm thickness, stained withhaematoxylin and eosin, and observed under light microscope [13].

2-6-Statistical analysis

All the data were expressed as mean± standard error of means (S.E.M).Statistical analysis was performed by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and differences between means were determinedby Turkey's Multiple Comparison test using Graph Pad Prism 5.0 program.A value of P< 0.05 was considered significant.

3-1-Body weight and liverweightstudy

The results of this study are shown in tables 1, 2 and 3. Compared to control, all groups did not showed significant difference in rats body weight changes (P< 0.05), and in both absolute liver weight and relative liver weight. However, at the day 14, ethanol group has shown an increase in rat body weight changes $(6.16\% \pm 4.359^{b})$ compared to control group $(1.01\% \pm 3.742^{a})$ and in weight liver (6.910 ± 1.002^{b}) compared to control animals (3.96 ± 0.1867^{a}) .

GROUPS	WEIGHT (g)					
GROUP3	DAY 0	DAY 7	DAY 14	DAY 21	DAY 28	
Control	117±5.385 ^a	118.6±4.523 ^a	118.6±4.915 ^a	124±4.103 ^ª	126±6.110 ^ª	
15% ethanol	148.6±2.804 ^a	146±3.559 ^d	141.7±4.410 ^{bcd}	136.7±2.404 ^{ab}	135.3±1.453 ^{ab}	
15% ethanol + Sylimarin 70 mg/kg	140±5.553ª	141.8±4.055 ^{bd}	142.8±5.093 ^{cd}	143±4.889 ^{ab}	143.2±7.490 ^{ab}	
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora</i> 100mg/kg	132.3±2.417 ^ª	132.3±3.180 ^{ad}	130.7±2.849 ^{ad}	128.7±3.333 ^{ab}	127±3.512 ^ª	
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora 3</i> 00mg/kg	128.8±9.595 ^a	129.3±8.667 ^{ad}	131±2.933 ^{ad}	138.8±8.430 ^{ab}	139±13.08 ^{ab}	
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora 9</i> 00mg/kg	140.8±5.963 ^ª	143.3±6.149 ^{cd}	147.2±4.954 ^d	149.6±4.895 ^b	154.6±3.326 ^b	

Table 1: Effect of treatmenton weight variation of ethanol induced hepatotoxicity in rats

The values of weight are expressed as Mean \pm S.E.M for five rats (n=5). In the same column values, the samelettersarenotsignificantlydifferent(P<0.05).</th>

Table 2: Effect of treatment on weight gain

		Body weight change (%)					
GROUPS	Day 7 (week 1)	Day 14 (week 2)	Day 21 (week 3)	Day 28 (week 4)			
Control	1.7±0.8367 ^a	1.01±3.742 ^a	1.26±0.2887 ^a	4.667±1.856 ^a			
15% ethanol	3.03±1.443 ^a	6.16±4.359 ^b	3.53±2.517 ^a	2.000±0.5774 ^a			
15% ethanol + Sylimarin 70 mg/kg	4.57±3.326ª	1.27±0.9165 ^{ab}	0.98±0.4000 ^a	0.2000±0.2000 ^a			
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora</i> 100mg/kg	3.02±3.000 ^a	1.007±0.333 ^{ab}	1.78±0.3334 ^ª	1.000±0.5774 ^ª			
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora 3</i> 00mg/kg	4.66±2.082 ^ª	5.93±1.856 ^{ab}	3.05±2.646 ^a	6.33±1.764 ^ª			
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora 9</i> 00mg/kg	1.98±0.9595ª	1.35±1.023 ^{ab}	1.63±0.5099 ^a	4.393±1.965 ^a			

The values of body weight change are expressed as Mean \pm S.E.M for five rats (n=5). In the same column values, the same letters are not significantly different (*P*< 0.05).

Table 3: Effect of treatmenton liver weight variation of ethanol induced hepatotoxicity in rats

	LIVE	R
GROUPS	WEIGHT	RELATIVE WEIGHT (%)
Control	3.96±0.1867 ^a	3.100±0.2858 ^a
15% ethanol	6.910±1.002 ^b	5.120±0.7802 ^a
15% ethanol + Silymarin 70 mg/kg	5.428±0.4374 ^{ab}	3.810±0.3316 ^a
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora</i> 100mg/kg	6.313±0.2567 ^{ab}	4.980±0.2919 ^a
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora 3</i> 00mg/kg	5.545±0.7642 ^{ab}	4.537±0.8952 ^a
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora 9</i> 00mg/kg	5.5±0.7151 ^{ab}	3.508±0.3978 ^a

The values of liver weight are expressed as Mean \pm S.E.M for five rats (n=5). In the same column values, the same letters are not significantly different (P< 0.05).

3-2-Effects of treatmenton enzymatic parameters

Table 4shows serum ALT activities in rats treated at days 7, 14, 21, 28. The levels of ALTare significantly (P<0.05)increased in ethanol group compared to the control.There is asignificant(P<0.05)decreaseof ALTlevels in*L. multiflora*extract(100, 300, 900 mg/kg) and silymaringroups compared to ethanol group.

Table 4: Alanine aminotransferaseactivities in treated rats

GROUPS	ALT (UI/L)			
GROUPS	DAY 7	DAY 14	DAY 21	DAY 28
Control	59.40±1.030 ^a	60.60±1.077 ^a	61.60±1.691 ^a	61.60±1.288 ^a

15% ethanol		124.5±1.708 ^f	127±0.9129 ^f	131.3±0.75 ^f	144±1.155 ^f
15% ethanol Silymarin 70 mg/kg	+	96±1.414 ^{bc}	79±1.871 ^b	76.67±0.8819 ^b	75±1.732 ^b
15% ethanol <i>L. multiflora</i> 100mg/kg	+	117±1.472 ^{def}	104.3±3.301 ^{de}	101.5±2.327 ^e	100±1.202 ^e
15% ethanol <i>L. multiflora 3</i> 00mg/kg	+	122±1.414 ^{ef}	104.8±1.315 ^e	93.75±1.250 ^{de}	90±2.082 ^{cd}
15% ethanol <i>L. multiflora 9</i> 00mg/kg	+	96.75±6.263 [°]	94.75±6.290 ^{cde}	93±6.042 ^{cde}	90±2.646 ^d

The values of ALT levels are expressed as Mean \pm S.E.M. for five rats (n=5). In the same column values, the same letters are not significantly different (P < 0.05).

Table 5 shows that there is a significant increase of serum AST levels in ethanol intoxicated animals compared to the control group.Treatment with *L. multiflora*extract(100, 300, 900 mg/kg) and silymarinesignificantly(P<0.05) decreasesserumAST levels whencompared to ethanol group during the experimental period, except the day 7.

Table 5: Aspartate aminotransferase activities in treated rats

GROUPS	AST (UI/L)				
GROUP 3	DAY 7	DAY 14	DAY 21	DAY 28	
Control	143±3.072 ^a	143.6±2.909 ^a	146±2.72 ^ª	145.8±3.262 ^a	
15% ethanol	251.8±3.816 ^{cd}	297±6.868 ^f	350.3±19.76 ^f	381±6.658 ^f	
15% ethanol + Silymarin 70 mg/kg	228±0.7071 ^b	217.4±7.414 ^b	185±1.581 ^{bc}	176.5±0.6455 ^b	
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora</i> 100mg/kg	305.7±1.764 ^f	291.3±1.667 ^{ef}	248.7±2.667 [°]	237.7±3.180 [°]	
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora 3</i> 00mg/kg	296.3±4.404 ^{ef}	265.5±3.969 ^{de}	236.5±2.630 ^{de}	210±3.180 ^d	
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora 9</i> 00mg/kg	262.8±3.184 ^d	246±4.037 ^{cd}	187.6±2.839 ^c	207.2±5.190 ^{cd}	

The values of AST levels are expressed as Mean \pm S.E.M. for five rats (n=5). In the same column values, the same letters are not significantly different (P < 0.05).

Concerning GGT activity, results show a significant(P < 0.05) increase of serum GGT levels in ethanol intoxicated animals compared to the control group.Treatment with *L. multiflora*extract(100, 300, 900 mg/kg) and silymarinsignificantly (P<0.05) decreasesserum GGT levels when compared to ethanol group (table 6).

Table 6:Gamma-glutamyltransferase activities in treated rats

GROUPS	GGT (UI/L)				
GROUPS	DAY 7	DAY 14	DAY 21	DAY 28	
Control	258.40±1.503 ^a	258.60±1.030 ^a	260±1.703 ^a	263.80±2.083 ^a	
15% ethanol	368±2.16 ^f	276±1.934 ^f	284±2.677 ^f	313.5±7.467 ^f	
15% ethanol + Silymarin 70mg/kg	277.8±1.744 ^b	295.8±1.934 ^b	310±5.666 ^b	317.4±5.297 ^b	

15% ethanol + <i>L.</i> <i>multiflora</i> 100mg/kg	349.7±3.80 ^e	362.7±4.055 ^{ef}	377±1.155 ^{ef}	388.3±4.10 ^e
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora</i> <i>3</i> 00mg/kg	335±1.652 ^d	344±2.273 ^d	360.3±2.780 ^{de}	375.5±2.102 ^{de}
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora</i> <i>9</i> 00mg/kg	303.6±4.490 ^c	318.6±4.632 ^c	343.6±2.731 ^c	354±1.393 [°]

The values of GGT levels are expressed as Mean \pm S.E.M for five rats (n=5). In the same column values, the same letters are not significantly different (P < 0.05).

3-3-Effects of treatment on biochemical substrates

In table 7, results show that there is no significant difference between serum TG levels of all the animal groups

GROUPS	TG (g/L)				
	DAY 7	DAY 14	DAY 21	DAY 28	
Control	0 .854±0.09315 ^a	0.9240±0.05591 ^a	0.8950±0.1024 ^a	0.98±0.09 ^a	
15% ethanol	0.82±0.05132 ^a	0.143±0.1419 ^a	0.9±0.15 ^a	1.230±0.14 ^a	
15% ethanol + Silymarin 70 mg/kg	0.752±0.08387 ^ª	0.7840±0.09511 ^ª	0.8060±0.09584 ^ª	1.135±0.1384 ^ª	
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora</i> 100mg/kg	0.82±0.04 ^ª	1.355±0.055 ^{ab}	1.06±0.01 ^a	0.6±0.04 ^a	
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora 3</i> 00mg/kg	0.7675±0.08910 ^a	0.9325±0.1574 ^{ab}	0.8933±0.08876 ^a	1.030±0.2702 ^a	
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora 9</i> 00mg/kg	0.9720±0.2409 ^a	1.004±0.07737 ^b	0.7825±0.6060 ^a	0.81±1.223 ^a	

Table 7: Triglycerides levels in treated rats

The values of TG levels are expressed as Mean \pm S.E.M. for five rats (n=5). In the same column values, the same letters are not significantly different (P < 0.05).

In table 8, treatment of rats with *L. multiflora* extract (at the doses of 300 and 900 mg/kgb.w.) and silymarin (70 mg/kgb.w.) revealsno significant changes in serum levels of CRP compared to control animals. However, there is a significant difference (P < 0.05)of serum CRP levels betweenall the animal groups and the ethanol one.

Table 8:C Reactive Protein levels in treated rats

GROUPS	CRP (UI/L)			
GROOFS	DAY 21	DAY 28		
Control	0 ^a	0 ^a		
15% ethanol	10.50±2.021 ^b	12.25±1.750 ^c		
15% ethanol + Silymarin 70 mg/kg	0 ^a	0 ^a		
15% ethanol + <i>L.</i> <i>multiflora</i> 100mg/kg	8.75±1.750 ^b	7 ± 0^{b}		
15% ethanol + <i>L.</i> <i>multiflora 3</i> 00mg/kg	0 ^a	0ª		

15% ethanol + <i>L.</i>		
<i>multiflora 9</i> 00mg/kg	0 ^a	0 ^a

The values of CRP levels are expressed as Mean \pm S.E.M. for five rats (n=5). In the same column values, the same letters are not significantly different (P < 0.05).

3-4-Hematological study

Results show a significant (P< 0.05) increase of RBCs and platelets counts in *L. multiflora* extract (900 mg/kg) and silymarin-treated rats compared to 15% ethanol group. However, a significant (P< 0.05) reduction in WBCs and MCV value was recorded (Table 9).

	F	IEMATOLOGICA	L PARAMETER	S
GROUPS	RBC	WBC	PLATELET	MCV
Control	6 .733±0.04807 ^f	6.4±0.6658 ^a	891±36.04 ^e	72.43±0.7219 ^{bcd}
15% ethanol	3.917±0.2489 ^a	15.97±0.5783 ^d	303±26.31 ^a	77.15±6.658 [°]
15% ethanol + Silymarin 70 mg/kg	6.460±0.1222 ^{ef}	6.333±0.2333ª	776,7±33.41 ^{de}	67.45±0.3617 ^ª
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora</i> 100mg/kg	5.147±0.03180 ^{bc}	12.4±0.3786°	450.3±35.69ª	75.75±1.65 ^{de}
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora 3</i> 00mg/kg	5.303±0.1027 ^{cd}	9.067±0.2166 ^b	622.3±25.89 ^{bc}	73.15±0.85 ^{cde}
15% ethanol + <i>L. multiflora 9</i> 00mg/kg	5.827±0.4667 ^d	7.225±0.08539 ^a	726±27.62 ^{dc}	69.05±0.05 ^{abc}

Table 9: Hematological indices of rats at day28

The values of hematological parameter are expressed as Mean \pm S.E.M. for five rats (n=5). In the same column values, the same letters are not significantly different (P < 0.05).

RBC=Red Cell Count, WBC=White Blood Cell, MCV= Mean Cell Volume.

3-5-Histopathological study

Histopathological examinations of the liver sections areshownin figure 1. No visible lesions are observed in control group (Fig.1 A) while there are portal fibroplasias in the 15% ethanol one (Fig.1 B). Normal hepatic parenchymal architecture with mild dilatation and congestion of the central vein and blood sinusoids is observed in silymarin (70 mg/kg b.w.) treatedrats(Fig. 1 C). Diffuse hydropic degeneration and cellular infiltration by mononuclear cells are observed in liver section of *L. multiflora*extract 100 mg/kg treated rats (Fig.1 D). In *L. multiflora* extract 300 mg/kg (Fig.1E) and 900 mg/kg (Fig.1F), liver sections show almost normal cells with less dilated congested portal venules.



Figure 1:Representative of histological assessment of rat liver sections after 28 days of treatments. (A) Control, (B) ethanol, (C) ethanol + Silymarin70 mg/kg, (D) ethanol + *L. multiflora*extract 100 mg/kg, (E) ethanol + *L. multiflora*extract 300 mg/kg, (F) ethanol + *L. multiflora* 900 mg/kg (x100).

DISCUSSION

Consumption of alcohol affects the liver and other organs and could contribute to the development of alcohol liver disease[14]. The elevation observed in serum levels of ALT and AST is an indication of the degree of the liver damages caused by ethanol [15, 16]. The increase of ALT, AST and GGT in ethanol group reflects a cellular lesion, in particular at the hepatic level and certain cardiac cells[17, 18]. Clinically, measurements of serum ALT, AST and GGT are widely used as markers in evaluating the degree of liver injury. ALT is the more specific measure of alcohol-induced liver injury because it is found predominantly in the liver, whereas AST is found in several organs, including the liver, heart, muscle, kidney, and brain [19]. The reduction in the levels of ALT and AST by*L. multiflora*extract at a dose of 300 or 900 mg/kg b.w. (a day 7, 14,21 and 28), during liver damages induced by ethanol, suggests that the extract was not toxic or damaging to the integrity of the liver but possibly hepatoprotective.

Worthy of note is the fact that ethanol administration led to a significant increase in the level of serum GGT, which was markedly reduced by the dose of silymarin and *L. multiflora*extract (300 or 900 mg/kg,b.w.).Wang *et al.*[20] has suggested that *L. multiflora*extractis one of themost widely consumed

beverages in the world and more attention is paid toits health benefits effects notably in the prevention of cancer and cardiovascular diseases. Our work indicates that the beverage of *L. multiflora* extract may also protect liver from injuries, particularly those generated by ethanolconsumption.

The analysis of TG did not vary significantly. However, a significant increase of CRP was noticed in ethanol group indicating the inflammatory process initiated by ethanol. The results also show that the inflammation is lowered by *L.multiflora*extract(300 and 900 mg/kgb.w.) as well as silymarin.

Effect of silymarin and leaves of *L. multiflora*extract (300 or 900 mg/kgb.w.)on blood parameterswas demonstrated by the significant reduction in WBC platelets counts and MCV compared to ethanol group. This result confirms that MCV and GGT are important in the biological screening of the alcoholization[21].

As we mentioned before, we evaluated body weight gain, absolute and relative liver weights ratio of the laboratory rats. In general, obtained datashowed no significant difference in thebody weight gain and in both absolute and relative liver weight of all rats groups, compared tonormal group. Except, the day 14, whereethanol group is showed anincrease in both body weight gain and liver weight, compared to normal group.We found that ethanol treatment induced significant hepatic histopathological injuries. The increase (P< 0.05) in liver weight of the alcohol-treated rats can be due to theaccumulation of fats hepatocytic and water causing hypertrophy[22,23]. In the present study, we used an animal model to reveal the protective role of L. multifloraextract against ethanol-induced hepatic toxicity. The protection of liver by leaves of L. multifloraextract was detected by diagnostic indicators of liver damage (AST, GGT and ALT levels), and by histopathological analyses. The protection by L. multifloraextract in this study was reflected by the reduction of histological lesions both by weight gain and by liver weight. These health benefit properties of Lippiamultipflora are probably based on its main phytochemical such as phenylated glycosides put it evidence by Arthur et al. [24].

CONCLUSION

*Lippiamultiflora*was used in traditional middle to ill a lot of pathology. The results showed that leaves of *L. multiflora* aqueous extract couldameliorate hepatic damage caused by ethanol exposure in rat models.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

All authors hereby declare that all manipulations of animals were approved by the Ethical Committee of Health Sciences, Felix Houphouet-Boigny University of Abidjan. Moreover, all procedures used in animal experimentation complied with the European Council Legislation 87/607/EEC for the protection of experimental animals. All efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used and theirsuffering, and the study met the ethical standards of Chronobiology International.

REFERENCES

- 1. Evans WC, Trease GE. A Textbook of Pharmacognosy. *London: BailliáreTindall*,13th ed. 1989; 378-480.
- Jigam AA, Akanya HO, Ogbadoyi EO, Dauda BEN, Egwim CE. In vivo antiplasmodial, analgesic and anti-inflammatory activities of theleaf extract of *Lippiamultiflora*Mold. Journal of Medical Plants Research.2009; 3(3): 148-154.
- Owolabi MS, Ogundajo A, Lajide L, Oladimeji MO, SetzerWN, Palazzo MC. Chemical Composition and Antibacterial Activity of the Essential Oil of *Lippiamultiflora* Moldenke from Nigeria. Rec. Nat. Prod. 2009; 3(4): 170-177.
- 4. Pascual ME, Slowing K, Carretero E, Sánchez Mata D, VillarA.*Lippia*: traditional uses, chemistry and pharmacology: a review. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 2001; 76 (3): 201-14.
- 5. Acquaye D, Smith M, Letchamo W. and Simon J. *Lippia*tea Centre for New Use Agriculture and Natural Products. Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey(USA). 2001.
- Kanko C, Koukoua G, N'Guessan YT, Fournier J, PradèreJP, Toupet L. Contribution à l'étude phytochimique de *Lippiamultiflora*(Verbenaceae). Compte-renduChimie.2004; 7(10): 1029-1032. French.
- Etou-Ossibi AW, Nzonzi J, Mombouli JV, Nsondé-Ntandou GE, Ouamba JM, Abena AA. Screening chimique et effets de l'extrait aqueux de *Lippiamultiflora*Moldenke sur le cœur isolé du crapaud.Phytothérapie. 2005; 3(5): 193-199. French.

- Murakami C, Hirakawa Y, Inui H, Nakano Y, Yshida H.Effect of tea catechins on cellular lipid peroxidation and cytotoxity in hep G₂ cells.Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 2002; 66 (7): 1559-1562.
- 9. Xie B, Shi H, Chen Q, Ho C T. Antioxidant properties of fractions and polyphenols constituents from green, oolong and black teas. Proc. Nalt. Sci. Counc. Repub. Cina B. 1993; 17(2): 77-84.
- 10. Enda Tiers-Monde: Plantes médicinales. Encyclopédie médicale de l'Afrique,Larousse Afrique. Paris (France). Tome 4; 1986.French.
- Yao-Kouamé A,Nangah K Y,Alui K A, N'guessan A, Yao G F, AssaA.Pedo-and scape and development of *Lippiamultiflora*in the Southern Côte d'Ivoire.*Journal of Environmental Science* and Technology. 2010; 2 (1): 56-62.
- 12. Etou-Ossibi AW, Dimo.,Elion-Itou RDG, Nsondé-Ntandou G F, Nzonzi J, Bilanda DC, Ouamba JM, Abena AA.Effets de l'extrait aqueuxdu*Lippiamultiflora*Moldenke sur l'hypertension artérielle induite par le DOCA-sel chez le rat.Phytothérapie. 2012; 10 (6): 363-368. French.
- 13. Chevet V. La description macroscopique et la recoupe des prélèvements histologiques en médecine vétérinaire; mise en place d'un protocole standardisé et codifié à l'Ecole vétérinaire d'Alfort. Thèse de Doctorat vétérinaire ; 2009. French.
- 14. Nordman R, Riviere C, Rouach H. Implication of free radical mechanisms in ethanol-induced cellular injury. Free RadicBiol. Med. 1992; 12(3):219-40.
- 15. Kudo T, Tamagawa T, Shibata S. Effect of chronic ethanol exposure on the liver of Clockmutant mice. Journal Circadian Rhythms,2009; 7:4.
- 16. Chen X.Protective effects of quercetin on liver injury induced by ethanol. Pharmacognosy Magazine.2010; 6 (22):135-41.
- 17. Latha RM, Geentha T, Varalakshmi P.Effect of *Vernoniacinerea* Flower extract in adjuvant induced arthritis. General Pharmacology. 1998;31(4):601-606.
- 18. Kew MC.Serum amino transferase concentration as evidence of hepatocellular damage.Lancet. 2000; 355 (9204): 591-2.
- Halvorson MR, Campbell JL, Sprague G, Slater K, Noffsinger JK, Peterson CM.Comparative evaluation of the clinical utility of three markers of ethanol intake: The effect of gender. *Alcohol ClinExp Res.* 1993; 17(2):225-229.
- 20. Wang D, Lu J, Miao A, Xie Z, Yang D. HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS/MSanalysis of polyphenols and purine alkaloids in leaves of 22 tea cultivars inChina. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. 2008; 21(5): 361-369.
- 21. Bataille V, Ruidavets J -B, Arveiler D, Amouyel P, Ducimetiere P, Perret B et al.Joint use of clinical parameters,biological markers and CAGE questionnaire for the identification of heavy drinkers in a large population-based sample. Alcohol and Alcoholism. 2003; 38(2):121–127.
- 22. Saravanan, N., Nalini N. Antioxidant effect of *Hemidesmusindicus*on ethanol induced hepatotoxicity in rats. J Med Food. 2007; 10 (4):675-82.
- Ronis MJ, Hakkak R, Korourian S, Albano E, Yoon S, Ingelman-Sundberg Met al. Alcoholic liver disease in rat fed ethanol aspart of oral or intragastric low-carbohydrate liquid diets. *ExpBiolMed*. 2004229; (4):351-360.
- 24. Arthur H, Joubert E, De Beer D, Malherbe C J, WitthuhnR C. Phenylethanoid glycosides as major antioxidants in *Lippia multiflora* herbal infusion and their stability during steam pasteurisation of plant material. Food chemistry. 2011 ;127(2), 581-588.