### Screening for Biological Activity of Eleven Medicinal Plants Used

3 4

5

6 7 8

1

2

in Traditional Arabic Palestinian Herbal Medicine

Rana M. Jamous, Salam Y. Abu Zaitoun, Ahmad I. Husein, Iman B. Y. Qasem, Mohammed S<u>.</u> Ali-Shtayeh\*

Biodiversity and Environmental Research Center (BERC), Til Village, Nablus, Palestine.

#### ABSTRACT

9 10 11

**Aims**: To evaluate eleven medicinal plants as natural sources that possesses strong antidermatophytic, antibacterial, anticandidal and antioxidant substances with potential applications in therapeutics and food industry.

**Place and Duration of Study:** Sample: Biodiversity and Environmental Research Center, BERC, between December 2013 and April 2014.

**Methodology**: Twenty methanolic extracts were prepared from different parts of eleven plants used in traditional medicine in Palestine. The plants extracts were screened for total flavonoid and phenolic content using standard procedures. The crude extract was screened against six bacterial strains (*Staphylococcus aureus, Proteus vulgaris, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Salmonella typhi, Escherichia coli,* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*), 5 *Candida albicans* strains, and 2 dermatophytes (*Microsporum canis,* and *Trichophyton rubrum*). The antioxidant potential of the crude extract was also determined using the DPPH assay.

**Results**: The best free-radical scavenging was for the leaves of *Epilobium hirsutum* (IC50=33 µg/ml) and *Rhus coriaria* (49 µg/ml) compared with BHA standard (9 µg/ml). The highest value of phenolics was in *RhusR. coriaria* fruits (14.7 mg/g dried plant material) and for flavonoids was for *Epilopium\_Epi\_hirsutum* leaves (1.14 mg/g). The most active extracts against bacteria was the *RhusR\_ coriaria* leaves (% inhibition, 66.2 %) compared with gentamicin (100%) and against *Candida* were leaves of *RhusR\_ coriaria* (100 %) and *EpilopiumEpi\_ hirsutum* (72.4 %) compared with amphotericin B (100 %). On the other hand fruits of *RhusR\_ coriaria* showed the best antifungal activity against all the tested dermatophytes, 97% and 86% inhibition were achieved against *Microsporum canis* and *Trichophyton rubrum*, respectively.

**Conclusion**: Our results introduce a natural source (*RhusR. coriaria* and *Epi\_lobium hirsutum*) that possesses strong antidermatophytic, antibacterial, anticandidal and antioxidant substances with potential applications in therapeutics and food industry.

| Formatted: Highligh | t |
|---------------------|---|
| Formatted: Highligh | t |
|                     |   |
|                     |   |

| 1                | Formatted: Highlight |  |
|------------------|----------------------|--|
| $\left( \right)$ | Formatted: Highlight |  |

\* Tel.: +970 9 2536406; fax: +970 9 2536147. E-mail address: msshtayeh@yahoo.com.

Keywords: antioxidant, phenolics, flavonoids, antibacterial, antidermatophyte, anticandida, Rhus coriaria,
 Epilobium hirsutum

#### 16 1. INTRODUCTION

17 Herbal medicine is common in developing countries, and is practiced by a large propertion-percentage of 18 the population for the treatment of various-different diseases. In Palestine, many medicinal plants used in 19 folk medicine against various diseases have been documented with the ethnobotanical field surveys 20 carried out in the area, for the treatment of various diseases including cancer, injuries, and chronic 21 diseases [1-6]. Many medicinal plants and their parts have been shown to have medicinal value and can be used to ease, prevent, alleviate, or cure several human diseases [7]. Plants contain various 22 23 phytochemicals which can play an important role in reducing occurrences of many diseases by beesting 24 supportingup various organ functions of the human body [7,8].

25 A large number of medicinal plants have been investigated for their biological activities all over the world. Numerous scientific studies were designed for plant species used as folk remedies. Most of research 26 27 results are in good agreement with the traditional utilization of the tested plants [9]. It is believed that folk 28 remedies are major sources of new materials for antimicrobial and antioxidant drugs [10]. Antioxidants 29 have many potential applications related to , especially in relation to human health, by means of both in terms of prevention of disease and therapy [11]. Antioxidants are considered to play an effective role in 30 31 inhibiting and scavenging free radicals, and are also of particular importance because they might serve as 32 leads for the development of novel drugs. The most commonly used synthetic antioxidants have side 33 effects such as liver damage and carcinogenesis [12]. Natural antioxidants either in the form of raw 34 extracts or their chemical constituents are very effective to prevent the destructive processes caused by stress [13]. In this contextUnder these conditions, antioxidants especially derived from natural sources 35 36 such as including medicinal plants and herbal drugs require special attention.

37 Drug resistance to human pathogenic bacteria and fungi has been commonly reported from all over the 38 world [14], thus the increasing prevalence of multidrug resistant strains of pathogenic microorganisms 39 and the recent appearance of strains with reduced susceptibility to antibiotics raises the need to search 40 for new sources of antimicrobial agents [15]. Human infections, particularly those involving skin and 41 mucosal surfaces constitute a serious problem [16], Fungal infections have increased at an alarming rate 42 in the last 20-2 decadesyears, mainly among immune compromised individuals [4617]. Candida species 43 have been reported to be among the one of the most frequent New data indicate that the relative 44 propertions of organisms causing nosocomial bloodstream infections have changed over the last decade, with Candida species now firmly established as one of the most frequent agents [18]. Candidemia is not 45 46 only associated with a high mortality but also extends the length of the hospital stay and increases the 47 costs of medical care. A Jarge percentage (50-70%) of total yeast isolates recovered from mong human gastrointestinal tract isolates, 50-70% of total yeast isolates were identified as Candida albicans 48 49 [4718,4819]. Therefore the discovery of antioxidant, antimicrobial and antifungal agents from plants based on the evaluation of traditional plant extracts is a very important research topic. 50

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight

 Formatted: Highlight

 Formatted: Highlight

 Formatted: Highlight

|   | Formatted: Highlight |
|---|----------------------|
|   | Formatted: Highlight |
|   | Formatted: Highlight |
| Ì | Formatted: Highlight |
|   | Formatted: Highlight |
|   |                      |

| l | Formatted: Highlight |
|---|----------------------|
| ( | Formatted: Highlight |
| ſ | Formatted: Highlight |

51 In this study 20 methanol extracts prepared from different parts of 11 Palestinian plants used in

52 Traditional Arabic Palestinian herbal medicine (TAPHM) for the treatment of various ailments were 53 evaluated for their antioxidant activity using DPPH, total flavonoid and phenolic compounds content, and

54 the biological activity of these plants extracts against bacteria, *Candida* and dermatophytes.

56 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 57 2.1. Chemicals

55

58 2,2–Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), and buthylated hydroxyanisol (BHA), and α-tocopherol were

59 purchased from Sigma, (Sigma, Aldrich GmbH, Sternheim, Germany); pyrocatechel, quercetin, Folin-

60 ciocalteu's reagent (FCR), peptone, agar, dextrose, Muller-Hinton agar (Fluka), sabouraud dextrose agar

- 61 (Difco), dextrose, agar, gentamicin, amphotericin B and econazole, were purchased from Merck
- 62 (Darmstat, Germany). Sodium carbonate, ethanol, methanol and all other chemicals and reagents were of63 analytical grade.

#### 64 2.2. Plant Material

Medicinal plant species screened in this study were collected from different regions of Palestine between April and August 2013. They were identified by Prof. M. S. Ali-Shtayeh from the Biodiversity and Environmental Research Center, BERC, Til Village, Nablus (Table 1). Voucher specimens are deposited in the Herbarium of BERC.

#### 69 2.3. Extracts Preparation

Fresh plant parts were ground using a Molenix (Mooele -Depose type 241) for a minute and the resulting powder was lyophilized and stored in at -  $80^{\circ}$  for future use. Fifty grams of the lyophilized plant material were extracted by homogenization with  $80^{-}$ % methanol (10 ml g–1), for 72 h then filtrated through Whatman No. 4 filter paper. The solvent was removed at 45° under reduced pressure followed by freeze drying using freeze dryer (Alpha 1-2 LD plus). The crude extracts were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$  for further use.

### 75 2.4. Phytochemical Screening

### 76 2.4.1. Determination of total phenolic contents

77 The total amount of total phenolics in plant extracts was determined with the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent 78 using following the method of Dicko et al [4920] with adaptation of the method to the 96 well-plate. Gallic 79 acid was used as a standard and the total phenolics were expressed as mg/g gallic acid equivalents 80 (GAE). Concentrations of 2.4, 4.87, 9.75, 19.5, 39, 78, 156 µg/ml of gallic acid were prepared in methanol. Concentration of 2.5 mg/ml of plant extract was also prepared in methanol and 20\_µl of each 81 82 sample were introduced into the wells and mixed with 100 µl of 0.2 N Folin- Ciocalteu reagent, the plate 83 was incubated 5 min at room temperature followed by the addition of 80\_µl of 7.5% sodium carbonate. 84 The micro-well plate was covered to protect from light and allowed to stand for 30 minutes at room

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight

| Formatted: Highlight |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Formatted: Highlight |  |

85 temperature before the absorbance was read at 735 nm using a multi-well plate reader Biotek, USA. All

86 determinations were performed in triplicate. The Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, being sensitive to reducing

87 compounds including polyphenols, is producing a blue color upon reaction which can be measured spectrophotometerically [2021]. 88

89

Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight

| Т                            | Table 1. Antioxidant activity and phytochemical analysis of the selected plants extracts. |                  |                |      |                             |                       |                    |                      | Formatted: Highlig | ght                  |     |
|------------------------------|---|------------------|----------------|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----|
|                              |   |                  |                |      | Antioxidar                  | t activity            | Phytochemic        | al analysis          | -                  |                      |     |
| No. Scientific Name Family ! | Family Name   | Name Voucher No. | Plant<br>Part* | DPPH |                             | Total phenolic        | Total<br>flavonoid | -                    |                    |                      |     |
|                              |   |                  | IC50           | AAI  | (GAE mg/g <mark>m</mark> )_ | content<br>(OE-mg/gm) |                    | Formatted: Highlight | ght                |                      |     |
| 1.                           | Ailanthus altissima (P. Mill)   | Simarubaceae     | BERC-BX-C-0599 | FR   | >10000                      | 0                     | 5.85±0.11          | 0.43±0.02            |                    | Formatted: Highlight | ght |
| 2.                           |   |                  |                | LE   | 286.0                       | 0.15                  | 2.24±1.29          | $0.58 \pm 0.08$      |                    |                      |     |
| 3.                           | Alcea setosa (Boiss.) Alef.   | Malvaceae        | BERC-BX-C-0072 | FL   | >10000                      | 0.01                  | 2.01±0.16          | 0.52±0.03            |                    |                      |     |
| 4.                           | Ceratonia siliqua L.  | Fabaceae         | BERC-BX-C-0137 | FR   | 255                         | 0.17                  | 7.53±0.27          | 0.79±0.65            |                    |                      |     |
| 5.                           |   |                  |                | LE   | 81                          | 0.53                  | 1.26±0.19          | $0.94 \pm 0.08$      |                    |                      |     |
| 6.                           | Echinops adenocaulos Boiss.   | Asteraceae       | BERC-BX-C-0100 | FL   | 4429.0                      | 0.01                  | 3.70±0.15          | 0.09±0               |                    |                      |     |
| 7.                           | Ephedra aphylla Forssk.   | Ephedraceae      | BERC-BX-C-0140 | FR   | 606.0                       | 0.07                  | 6.53±0.46          | $0.50\pm$            |                    |                      |     |
| 8.                           |   |                  |                | LE   | 1776.0                      | 0.02                  | 8.10±0.16          | 0.32±0.02            |                    |                      |     |
| 9.                           | Epilobium hirsutum L.   | Onagraceae       | BERC-BX-C-0250 | ) LE | 33.0                        | 1.3                   | 13.46±0.77         | 1.14±0.08            |                    |                      |     |
| 10.                          | Eucalyptus camaldulensis Dehnh.   | Myrtaceae        | BERC-BX-C-0039 | FR   | 141.0                       | 0.30                  | 1.26±0.19          | 0.34±0.01            |                    |                      |     |
| 11.                          |   |                  |                | LE   | 325.0                       | 0.13                  | 1.72±0.22          | 0.50±0.04            |                    |                      |     |
| 12.                          | Lycium schweinfurthii Dammer  | Solanaceae       | BERC-BX-C-0591 | LE   | >10000                      | -                     | 1.66±0.10          | $0.55 \pm 0.02$      |                    |                      |     |
| 13.                          |   |                  |                | FR   | >10000                      | -                     | 0.53±0.04          | $0.07 \pm 0.00$      |                    |                      |     |
| 14.                          | Pistacia palaestina Boiss.  | Anacardiacea     | BERC-BX-C-0010 | LE   | 131                         | 0.33                  | 1.35±0.30          | 0.82±0.02            |                    |                      |     |
| 15.                          |   | -                |                | FR   | 143                         | 0.30                  | 9.70±1.44          | 1.11±0.10            |                    |                      |     |
| 16.                          | Rhus coriaria L.  | Anacardiacea     | BERC-BX-C-0037 | ' FR | 153                         | 0.28                  | 14.91±0.94         | 0.52±0.02            |                    |                      |     |
| 17.                          |   |                  |                | LE   | 49                          | 0.87                  | 0.90±027           | 0.61±0.06            |                    |                      |     |
| 18.                          | Urginea maritima (L.) Baker   | Liliaceae        | BERC-BX-C-0277 | UG   | >10000                      | -                     | 7.03±0.27          | 0.05±0.01            |                    |                      |     |
| 19.                          |   |                  |                | FR   | >10000                      | -                     | 6 83+0 37          | 0 25+0 03            |                    |                      |     |

FR

FL

90 91 20.

21. Butylated hydroxyanisole

22. Gallic acid

23. Vitamin C

\* FL flower; LE leaves; UG Underground parts FR Fruits.

92

96

97

98

2.4.2. Determination of total flavonoids contents

The total flavonoids content of each plant extract was estimated by aluminium chloride colorimetric assay 93

described by Chatatikun & Chiabchalard [2422]. The reaction was carried out by mixing 25 µl of the plant 94 95 extract (2.5\_mg/ml) or standard solution of quercitin (400, 200, 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.1, 3.6 µg/ml) in 80-%

Methanol (80-%) was used as reagent blank. Finally 10  $\mu I$  of 1M sodium acetate was added to the mixture in a 96 well plate. The reaction was mixed and incubated for 40 minutes at room temperature protected

methanol, with 10\_µl of AICl<sub>3</sub> solution (10%), followed by the addition of 175 µl of 100-% methanol.

1895

9

33

70

0.02

4.76

1.3

0.61

6.83±0.37

 $5.304{\pm}0.04$ 

0.25±0.03

 $0.27 \pm 0.03$ 

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Subscript

99 from light. The absorbance was measured at 415 nm with a Micro plate Reader (Biotek, USA.). Total 100 flavonoid contents in the plants extracts were expressed as mg/g -Quercitin Equivalents (QE) per gram-of 101 dry plant material. All samples were analyzed analyzed in triplicates. 2.5. Determination of Antioxidant Activity Using DPPH Free Radical Scavenging 102 103 Free radical scavenging activity of the extracts was determined using the free radical 1,1-diphenly-2-104 picrylhydrazyl-hydrate (DPPH). The effect of the plant extracts on DPPH radical was performed as 105 described by Liyana-Pathirana and Shahidi [2223] -with minor modification. Briefly, 25\_µl of each plant 106 extract (ranging from 0 to 10 mg/ml) or standard solution of ascorbic acid, BHA and Gallic gallic acid 107 (ranging from 0.0024 mg/ml to 0.156 mg/ml) were added to 175 µl of 0.0042% DPPH methanol solution in 108 96 micro-well plate. Appropriate blanks were prepared using the solvent only in addition to the same 109 amount of DPPH reagent to overcome any inherent solvent activity. All reaction mixtures were mixed well 110 and incubated in the dark for 30 minutes at room temperature. The absorbance was measured at 517 nm with a Microplate Reader (Biotek, USA). Experiments were done in triplicates. The ability to scavenge 111 DPPH radical was calculated using the following equation: 112  $RSA = [(Ac-As)/Ac] \times 100\%$ 113 114 Where RSA is the percentage of free radical scavenging activity, Ac is the absorbance of blank, As is the 115 absorbance of sample. The concentration of sample required to scavenge 50% of the DPPH free radical

- 116 (IC50) was determined from the curve of % of inhibitions plotted against the respective concentration.
- 117 The antioxidant activity index (AAI) was then calculated as follows:
  - AAI=[DPPH] (μg<mark>/</mark>-ml<mark>-</mark>4)/IC50 (μg<mark>/</mark>-ml-<mark>4)</mark>\_
- 119 Where [DPPH] is final DPPH concentration.

#### 120 **2.6. Microbiological Studies**

118

- 121 Antimicrobial activity of different plants extracts was evaluated by agar well diffusion method and
- 122 minimum inhibitory concentration MIC. Microorganisms used in this study are listed in table-Table 2.

#### 123 Table 2. Test microorganisms.

| Microrganism | Species name                                   | Source     | Notes             |                  |                      |
|--------------|--|------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|              | Staphylococcus aureus                          | ATCC 25923 | Gram Positive +ve | <                | Formatted: Highlight |
|              | Proteus vulgaris                               | ATCC 13315 | Gram Negative—ve  |                  | Formatted: Highlight |
| Bacteria     | Pseudomonas aeruginosa                         | ATCC 27853 |                   |                  |                      |
|              | Salmonella typhi -                             | ATCC 14028 |                   | Gram Negative—ve |                      |
|              | Escherichia coli                               | ATCC 25922 |                   |                  |                      |
|              | Klebsiella<br><mark>pneumoniaepneumonia</mark> | ATCC 13883 |                   |                  | Formatted: Highlight |
| Candida      | Candida albicans                               | CBS6589    |                   |                  |                      |

Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight

|               |                     | CBS9120     |                    |
|---------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|
|               |                     | BERC N43    |                    |
|               |                     | BERC N72    | Clinical Specimens |
|               |                     | BERC N66    |                    |
| Dormatonhytos | Microsporum canis   | CBS132.88   |                    |
| Dermatophytes | Trichophyton rubrum | BERC-EH-TR9 | Clinical Specimen  |

124

#### 125 2.6.1. Well-diffusion method

126 Antibacterial and anticandidal activities of the selected plants extracts were assessed using the agar welldiffusion method [2324]. Muller-Hinton and Muller-Hinton supplemented with glucose-methylene blue 127 128 plates were used for antibacterial and anticandidal susceptibility tests, respectively. An inoculum of 18 129 **heur** old -broth culture (turbidity adjusted to approximately  $10^8_{4}$  CFU/ml of bacterium and candida, 130 compared with 0.5 McFarland standards) [2425] of respective bacterial and candida-Candida strain was uniformly spread on these media in separate plates [2526]. Wells (6 mm diameter) were created in these 131 132 plates, and 50 µl of plant extracts (100 mg/ml) were pipetted into the wells and allowed to diffuse at 133 room temperature for 30 min. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 18-24 h [2627]. The zone of inhibition for each extract was measured and expressed in mm [2526]. The activity index (AI) and Percent percent 134 135 Inhibition inhibition (PI) were calculated for all extracts obtained at a concentration of 100 mg/ml using the 136 following formula:

- 137 AI = Mean zone of inhibition of each extract 138
  - Zone of inhibition obtained for standard antibiotic
- PI = AI X100139

All the experiments were done in triplicates. Gentamicin (10\_mg/mLml) and amphotericin B (32\_µg/mL) 140 were used as positive controls for bacteria and candidaCandida, respectively. 141

2.6.2. Broth Micro-dilution test 142

143 Broth micro-dilution was performed following the CLSI M27-A2 method -[2728]. Plant extracts were 144 dissolved in methanol and the correct volume was pippeted in the first micro-plate well with Muller-Hinton 145 media (pH 7.2), for the concentration of each plant extract to be 5 mg/me-ml in that well. The cell suspension was prepared in 0.85% saline, with an optical density equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standards, 146 147 and diluted 1:100 in the media to obtain a final concentration of  $1 \times 10^4$  to  $5 \times 10^4$  colony-forming units per milliliter (CFU/mLml). This suspension was inoculated in each well of a micro-dilution plate previously 148 prepared with the plant extracts to give concentrations from 5 mg/m\_ml down to 0.039 mg/m\_ml 149 150 12829. The plates were incubated with agitation at 37°C for 24 h for all species. The control drugs were gentamicin for bacteria strains, and amphotericin B for Candida, respectively. Concentrations of controls 151 were ranged from 1-250-1 µg/mL-ml for gentamicin, and from 0.125-16.0-0.125 µg/mL-ml for amphotericin 152

| Formatted: Highlight   |  |
|------------------------|--|
| Formatted: Superscript |  |

| Formatten. Superscript             |
|------------------------------------|
| Formatted: Highlight               |
| Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight |
| Formatted: Highlight               |
| Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight |
| Formatted: Highlight               |
| Formatted: Highlight               |
| Formatted: Highlight               |
| Formatted: Highlight               |

| Formatted: Highlight               |
|------------------------------------|
| Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight |
| Formatted: Highlight               |
| Formatted: Highlight               |
| Formatted: Highlight               |
| Formatted: Superscript             |
| Formatted: Superscript             |
| Formatted: Highlight               |
| Formatted: Highlight               |
| Formatted: Highlight               |
| Formatted: Highlight               |
| Formatted: Font: Italic            |
| Formatted: Highlight               |

B. Value of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), determined by broth micro- dilution, and was
defined as the lowest concentration of the drug completely inhibited the growth of the isolate. For plant
extracts, the lowest concentration without visible growth (visually and spectrophotometerically) was
defined as MICs

#### 157 2.6.3. Anti-dermatophyte testing

158 Plants extracts were tested for their anti-dermatophyte activity against two dermatophyte species using a 159 modified poisoned food technique [2930]. Each extract was incorporated in pre-sterilized SDA medium at a concentration of (0.4\_mg/ml). A mycelial agar disk of 5 mm diameter was cut out of 12 days old culture 160 161 of the test fungus and inoculated on to the freshly prepared SDA plates. In controls, sterile distilled water was used in place of the tested sample as a negative control, while econazole (5 µg/meml) was used as 162 163 the positive control. Three replicate plates were used for each treatment (concentration). The inoculated 164 plates were incubated in the dark at 24°C and the observations were recorded 10 days after incubation. Percentage of mycelial inhibition was calculated using the following formula: 165

166

% mycelial inhibition = (dc- ds / dc) x100%

167 dc: colony diameter of the control, ds: colony diameter of the sample

168 169

171

#### 170 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 172 3.1. Total Phenolic Content

173 Polyphenols are secondary metabolites, naturally occurring compounds found largely in plants; they are 174 generally involved in defence against ultraviolet radiation or aggression by pathogens [3031]. In food, 175 polyphenols may contribute to the bitterness, astringency, colour, flavour, odour and oxidative stability 176 [3132]. Epidemiological studies and associated meta-analyses strongly-have suggested that long term 177 consumption of diets rich in plant polyphenols offered provide some protection against diseases including 178 development of cancers, chronic diseases, osteoporosis and neurodegenerative diseases [3233, 3334]. 179 Polyphenols and other food phenolics are the subject of increasing scientific interest because of their possible beneficial effects on human health. In this study total phenolic content (TPC) was estimated 180 181 using Folin-Ciocalteu's method. TPC of all extracts was found to be in the range of 0.53-14.91 mg GAE/g 182 extract, results showed that TPC differ among different plant parts (Table 1), the highest level of TPC was found in the fruits *RhusR*, coriaria (14.91 mg GAE/g extract), while it was only 0.89 in the plant leaves. 183 Other plants with high TPC were the leaves of Epilobium-Epi. hirsutum (13.46 mg GAE/ g), fruits of 184 185 PistaciaP. palaestina (9.7 mg GAE/g), and the leaves of EphedraEph. aphylla is (8.08 mg GAE/ g).

A phytochemical analysis of the fruits of <u>*R.hus*</u> coriaria was conducted recently [<u>3435]</u>, a total of 211 compounds were identified in the epicarp (fruits) of the plant of which 9 compounds were phenolic acids derivatives, and 26 compounds were unusual phenolics conjugated with glycoside-malic acid [<u>3435]</u>. Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

| -{               | Formatted: Highlight |
|------------------|----------------------|
| -{               | Formatted: Highlight |
| -{               | Formatted: Highlight |
| $\left( \right)$ | Formatted: Highlight |
| -{               | Formatted: Highlight |
| 1                | Formatted: Highlight |
| -{               | Formatted: Highlight |

189 Also, several *Pistacia* species are known to be rich in gallotannins and related phenolic compounds

#### 191 **3.2. Total Flavonoid Content**

<mark>,3536, 3637</mark>].

<mark>3738</mark>].

190

194

Flavonoids comprise the most studied group of polyphenols. More than 4,000 varieties of flavonoids havebeen identified, many of which are responsible for the attractive colours of the flowers, fruits and leaves

Total flavonoid content was measured using the aluminium chloride colorimetric assay. The total 195 196 flavonoid content of all -extracts ranged between 0.05-1.14 mg QE/g extract (Table 1), the highest level of flavonoid content was found in the leaves of *EpilopiumEpi*, hirsutum and PistaciaP, palaestina (1.14 197 198 and 1.11 respectively), while the lowest flavonoid content was in the underground part extract of 199 , UrgineaU, maritima (0.05 mg QE/g extract). Other plants with high levels of flavonoid were leaves of 200 <mark>, LyciumL.</mark> schweinfurthii (0.82 mg QE/g), and fruits of <mark>,CeratoniaC.</mark> siliqua (0.789 mg QE/g). The fruit 201 extracts of *LyciumL* schweinfurthii and flowers of *EchinopsEch* adenocaulos had very low levels of 202 flavonoid (0.07 and 0.089 mg QE/g, respectively).

203 Methanol plant extracts contained a higher proportion (≥ 50%) of phenolics than flavonoids (Figure 1).

#### 204 3.3. Antioxidant Activity

205 In this study, the antioxidant activity of plant extracts were evaluated using DPPH free radical scavenging 206 assay. Except for the fruits of Ailanthus altissima, flowers of AlceaAl. setosa, leaves and fruits of LyciumL schweinfurthii, and underground parts and fruits of *Urgineau*. maritima extracts, all extracts showed 207 208 DPPH radical scavenging activity. EpilopiumEpil. hirsutum leaves revealed the highest antioxidant activity 209 with (AAI= 1.298, IC50=33 µg/ml), followed by the extract of RhusR, coriaria leaves (AAI= 0.87, IC<sub>50</sub>=49 210 µg/ml) (Table 1). The activities of leaf extracts varied from (IC<sub>50</sub>=33µg/ml) in *EpilopiumEpi.* hirsutum to 211 (IC<sub>50</sub> = 325µg/ml) in EucalyptusE camaldulensis. While the activity of fruit extracts varied from AAI =0.304 in EucalyptusE. camaldulensis to AAI= 0 in AilanthusA. altissima, EyciumL. schweinfurthii and 212 213 Jurgineau, maritima. However, the fruits and leaves of PistaciaP. palaestina which have AAI=0.327 and 0.3, respectively, have been shown by others to possess a high antioxidant activity [3839]. 214

In our study, a weak correlation was found between radical scavenging antioxidant activity and total
phenolics in plant parts. Interestingly, a few of the collected plant parts with high-antioxidant activity are
"low" in phenolic content including the leaves of *CeratoniaC* siliqua and *RhusR*, coriaria. These plants
may serve as sources of antioxidants with new chemotypes.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

| Formatted: Highlight |
|----------------------|
| Formatted: Highlight |

| Formatted: Highlight |
|----------------------|
| Formatted: Highlight |



GAE (mg/gm) QE (mg/gm)

 220
 GAL (mg/gm)

 221
 Figure 1 Proportional relation (%) of flavonoids content to phenolic acids in analysed medicinal plants.

#### 223 3.4. Antibacterial Activity

In the present work the antibacterial activity of the twenty methanol extracts of plants parts were 224 225 evaluated against six bacterial strains, using agar well diffusion and serial micro dilution (MIC) methods. 226 The results of the antibacterial screening test showed that of the twenty extracts tested only seven 227 extracts belonging to 4 plants species showed antibacterial activity (Table 3). The most active plant 228 extract against all bacteria strains was the leaves of RhusR. Coriaria. The percent of inhibition of RhusR. 229 coriaria leaves extract ranged between 60.9--76.2 against tested bacteria. However, the leaves of 230 AilanthusA. altissima showed moderate antibacterial activity with percent of inhibition of ranged from 41.8 231 to 55.8. However, the fruits of AilanthusA. altissima and leaves of EucalyptusE. camaldulensis showed 232 233 coriaria and AilanthusA. altissima have been shown by other researchers to possess high antibacterial 234 activity [5, 3940, and 4041]. Bioactive compounds produced by plants have been found to protect plants against bacteria, fungi and pests [4442, 4243], thus it is expected that the plants extracts were composed 235 236 of antibacterial activity.

| Formatted: | Highlight |
|------------|-----------|
| Formatted: | Highlight |

# 237 Table 3. Percent inhibition (PI) and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) (mg/mL) of plant 238 extracts against bacterial strains

|                               | Sali         | monella | Kle  | ebsiella | Staphy | lococcus | Pi   | roteus  | Pseu | domonas | Esc  | herichia |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------|------|----------|--------|----------|------|---------|------|---------|------|----------|
| Plant name (Part*)            | t            | typhi   | pnei | umoniae  | au     | reus     | VL   | ılgaris | aer  | uginosa |      | coli     |
|                               | PI <u>**</u> | MIC     | ΡI   | MIC      | PI     | MIC      | ΡI   | MIC     | ΡI   | MIC     | ΡI   | MIC      |
|                               |              | (mg/ml) |      | (mg/ml)  |        | (mg/ml)  |      | (mg/ml) |      | (mg/ml) |      | (mg/ml)  |
| Ailanthus altissima (FR)      | 42.9         | 2.50    | 0    | 0.00     | 35.9   | 1.25     | 0    | 0.00    | 0    | 0.00    | 0    | 0.00     |
| Ailanthus altissima (LE)      | 55.8         | 2.50    | 41.8 | 2.50     | 45.3   | 1.25     | 46.6 | 5.00    | 45.3 | 2.50    | 48.5 | 5.00     |
| Eucalyptus camaldulensis (LE) | 0            | 0.00    | 0    | 0.00     | 48.4   | 2.50     | 0    | 0.00    | 0    | 0.00    | 48.6 | 2.50     |
| Pistacia palaestina (FR)      | 0            | 0.00    | 60.8 | 0.30     | 39.1   | 2.50     | 61.9 | 0.63    | 45.3 | 1.25    | 52.6 | 5.00     |
| Pistacia palaestina (LE)      | 38.6         | 5.00    | 37.3 | 1.25     | 34.4   | 1.25     | 40.5 | 2.50    | 0    | 0.00    | 40.5 | 2.50     |
| Rhus coriaria (FR)            | 51.5         | 5.00    | 45.6 | 1.25     | 48.4   | 0.30     | 61.9 | 2.50    | 0    | 0.00    | 0    | 0.00     |
| Rhus coriaria (LE)            | 68.7         | 2.50    | 60.8 | 0.60     | 60.9   | 1.03     | 76.2 | 1.25    | 65.8 | 1.25    | 64.7 | 5.00     |
| Gentamicin (10_mg/ml)         | 100          | 0.01    | 100  | 0.01     | 100    | 0.01     | 100  | 0.01    | 100  | 0.01    | 100  | 0.01     |

239 \* FR, fruit, LE, leaves.

#### 240 3.5. Anti-Candida Activity

241 Of the tested plants, six species out of eleven showed anticandidal activity against all strains (Table 4).

The most active plants extracts were the leaves of *Epi,lobium hirsutum* and *RhusR. coriaria,* and the leaves and flowers of *EucalyptusE camaldulensis* with percent of inhibition ranging from 42.4 to 82.6 (Table 4). On the other hand, the fruits of *AilanthusA* altissima and *CeratoniaC* siligua were the least

active plant extract with PI ranging between 0.0-36.5, and 0.0-46.1, respectively.

## 246 Table 4. Percent inhibition zone (PI) and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) (mg/mLml) of 247 plant extracts against Candida albicans strains

| Plant (Part)*                 | BEI  | RC N43                          | BEF  | RC N72  | BEF  | RC N66               | CB   | S 6985             | CB   | S 9120               |
|-------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|------|---------|------|----------------------|------|--------------------|------|----------------------|
|                               | PI   | MIC                             | PI   | MIC     | PI   | MIC                  | PI   | MIC                | PI   | MIC                  |
|                               |      | ( <mark>mg/<del>mL</del></mark> |      | (mg/ml) |      | (mg/ <mark>mL</mark> |      | (mg/ <del>mL</del> |      | (mg/m <mark>L</mark> |
| Ailanthus altissima (FR)      | 36.5 | 5.0                             | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0                    | 0    | 0                  | 0    | 0                    |
| Ailanthus altissima (LE)      | 65.2 | 0.60                            | 64.0 | 0.15    | 42.5 | 1.25                 | 39.1 | 1.25               | 44.3 | 2.5                  |
| Ceratonia siliqua (FR)        | 46.1 | 0.15                            | 38.1 | 0.6     | 39.6 | 0.6                  | 36.5 | 0                  | 0    | 0                    |
| Ceratonia siliqua (LE)        | 46.1 | 1.25                            | 36.3 | 1.25    | 39.6 | 2.5                  | 36.5 | 0.6                | 40.6 | 5.0                  |
| Epilobium hirsutum (LE)       | 80.9 | 0.15                            | 75.2 | 0.15    | 72.5 | 0.3                  | 66.8 | 0.6                | 66.6 | 0.6                  |
| Eucalyptus camaldulensis (FR) | 80.9 | 0.30                            | 54.9 | 0.15    | 56.4 | 1.25                 | 51.9 | 2.5                | 63.9 | 2.5                  |
| Eucalyptus camaldulensis (LE) | 82.6 | 0.30                            | 58.4 | 0.6     | 57.5 | 5.0                  | 52.9 | 5.0                | 42.4 | 5.0                  |
| Pistacia palaestina (LE)      | 46.9 | 0.30                            | 55.9 | 0.3     | 42.5 | 1.25                 | 39.1 | 1.25               | 46.1 | 1.25                 |
| Rhus coriaria (FR)            | 57.4 | 0.30                            | 54.2 | 0.6     | 45   | 2.5                  | 41.4 | 02.5               | 47.1 | 2.5                  |
| Rhus coriaria (LE)            | 81.7 | 0.15                            | 71.7 | 1.25    | 67.1 | 5.0                  | 61.8 | 0.3                | 64.2 | 5.0                  |
| Amphotericin B (32µg/mL)      | 100  | 0.008                           | 100  | 0.008   | 100  | 0.002                | 100  | 0.001              | 100  | 0.001                |

248 \* FR, fruits; LE, leaves.

#### 249 3.6. Antidermatophyte Activity

- 250 Many effective synthetic antifungal agents are currently available and have been used for the treatment of
- 251 dermatophytec infections [9]. However, these antifungal drugs tend to have serious side-effects including
- 252 toxicity, drug interactions, inadequate pharmacokinetic properties and the development of resistance
- 253 have been reported [4344]. The discovery of natural active components exhibiting a broad spectrum of

| lighlight            |
|----------------------|
| lighlight            |
| ont: Bold, Highlight |
| ont: Bold            |
| lighlight            |
|                      |

Formatted: Highlight

antidermatophyte activity may prove useful for the development of antifungal agents. Medicinal plants
have been a source of wide variety of biologically active compounds for many centuries and used
extensively as crude material or as pure compounds for treating various disease conditions [4445].
Various previous researches have been conducted to evaluate the anti-dermatophytic activity of plants [9,
4546-48-47].

259 In this study, plant extracts tested have shown considerable antidermatophytic activities at concentration 260 of 0.4 mg/ml against the two tested dermatophytes (M. canis, and T. rubrum) in comparison with the 261 positive control (econazole). The percent of mycelial inhibition at the concentration of 0.4 mg/ml plant extract ranged between 13%-97% against M. jerosporum canis and 7%-86% against Trichophyton T. 262 rubrum (Figure 2). The most active plants extracts which exhibited more than 50% inhibition against both 263 264 dermatophytes were the leaves and fruits of LyciumL. chweinfurthii, EucalyptusE. camaldulensis and 265 <mark>RhusR.</mark> coriaria and leaves of <mark>EpilopiumEpi.</mark> hirsutum and <mark>AilanthusA.</mark> altissima. Of these extracts the 266 fruits of "RhusR. coriaria and leaves of "AilanthusA. altissima revealed the highest antidermatophyte 267 activities with 97 % and 74 % mycelial inhibition against (M, icrosporum canis), respectively, and 86 % 268 and 74% against Trichophyton rubrum (Figure 2). Abdolmaleki et al [4849] have shown that methanolic 269 extracts of stem and fruit of sumac had the highest inhibitory activity against Fusarium oxysporum and 270 Phytophthora: drechsleri, respectively. While the ethanolic extract of the leaves and methanolic extracts 271 of fruit, leaf and stem had the highest inhibitory activity against Rhizoctonia solani.

Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight

| Formatted: Highlight |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Formatted: Highlight |  |
|                      |  |
| Formatted: Highlight |  |





275 Plants are rich source of thousands of new useful phytochemicals of great diversity, which have inhibitory 276 effects on all types of microorganisms in vitro. Although more than 600 plants have been reported for their 277 antifungal properties, however a few of them were explored for the active components [4445]. In this 278 study leaves have shown to be more active than fruits of the same plant. This might be attributed either to 279 the presence of different active chemical compounds or to the different concentrations of these 280 compounds between leaves and fruits. Previous research studies reported the presence of different 281 chemical groups in plant extracts including: phenolics, flavonoids, organic acids, saponins, terpenoids 282 and alkaloids [4445, 4950-52-54]. The variation between plants extracts activity might be related to the 283 different chemical groups and the variation in their concentrations in these plants. The results of the present study might suggest that RhusR. coriaria and EucalyptusE. camaldulensis are promising and 284 285 presumably possess compound(s) with chemical properties against dermatophytes.

### 286 4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, most of plants in this study could be considered as potential sources of natural antioxidant, which can be used as health promoting agents. <u>*RhusR. coriaria* extracts</u> have shown to possess promising antibacterial, anticandidal and antidermatophytic activity. Other plants including <u>*Epi.lobium*</u> hirsutum and <u>*LyeiumL.* chweinfurthii</u> have also shown to possess good anticandidal and antidermatophytic activity, respectively. Our results introduce natural sources that possesses strong antidermatophytic, antibacterial, anticandidal and antioxidant substances with potential applications in therapeutics and food industry.

#### 294 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was performed with funding from the European Union under the ENPI CBC MED Programme as part of the BioXplore project (www.bioxplore.org), and is a collaborative international project ref. no. I-B/1.1/288.

#### 298 COMPETING INTERESTS

299 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### 301 AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

MSA-S and RMJ designed the study, and wrote the manuscript. SYA-Z, AIH, and IBYQ performed the lab work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### 305 CONSENT (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE)

- 306 It is not applicable
- 307 308

304

300

#### 309 ETHICAL APPROVAL (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE)

- 310 It is not applicable
- 311 312
- 313 REFERENCES
- 314

| Formatted: | Highlight |
|------------|-----------|
|            |           |
|            |           |
|            |           |
|            |           |
|            |           |
| Formatted: | Highlight |
|            |           |
| Formatted: | Highlight |
| Formatted: | Highlight |
|            |           |
|            |           |
|            |           |

Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight

- 315 1. Ali-Shtayeh MS, Yaniv Z, Mahajna J. Ethnobotanical survey in the Palestinian area: A
- 316 classification of the healing potential of medicinal plants. Journal of Ethnopharmacology.

317 2000;73:221-32.

- Ali-Shtayeh MS, Jamous RM, Al-Shafie' JH, Elgharabah WA, Kherfan FA, Qarariah K, et al.
   2008. Traditional knowledge of wild edible plants used in Palestine (Northern West Bank):
   A comparative study. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2008;4: 1-13.
- 321 3. Ali-Shtayeh MS, Jamous Rana M, Jamous Rania M. Herbal preparation use by patients
- suffering from cancer in Palestine. Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice.
   2011;17(4):235-240. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ctcp.2011.06.002
- 4. Ali-Shtayeh M, S, Jamous Rana M, Jamous Rania M. <u>2012</u>. Complementary and alternative medicine use amongst Palestinian diabetic patients. Complementary therapies in clinical practice. <u>2012</u>; 18(1):16-21.
- 5. Ali-Shtayeh MS, Al-Assali AA, Jamous RM. Antimicrobial activity of Palestinian medicinal
   plants against ance-inducing bacteria. African Journal of Microbiology Research. 2013;7.
- 329 6. Ali-Shatyeh MS, Jamous RM. Traditional Arabic Palestinian Herbal Medicine. Til- Nablus,
- 330 Palestine: Biodiversity & Environmental Research Center; 2008.
- 7. Dhar U, Rawal RS, Samant SS, Airi S, Upreti J. People's participation in Himalayan
   biodiversity conservation: a practical approach. Current Sci. 1999;76:36–40.
- Azam NK, Mannan A, Ahmed N. Medicinal plants Used by The Traditional Medical
   Practitioners of Barendra and Shamatat (Rajshahi & Khulna Division) Region in Bangladesh
   for treatment of Cardiovascular Disorders. Journal of Medicinal Plants Studies. 2014;2(2):9 14.
- Orhan DD, Özçelik B, Hoşbaş S, Vural M. Assessment of antioxidant, antibacterial, antimycobacterial, and antifungal activities of some plants used as folk remedies in Turkey against dematophytes and yeast-like fungi. Turk J Biol. 2012;36:672-686.
- 10. Chang LY, Crapo JD. Inhibition of airway inflammation and hyperreactivity by an antioxidant
   mimetic. Free Radical Biology and Medicine. 2002;33(3):379–86.

Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Font: Not Italic Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Font: Not Italic

| 343<br>344        | established mammalian and emerging Piscine Model systems. Env. Hlth. Persp. 1998;06:375–384.   |  |
|-------------------|--|--|
| 345<br>346        | <ol> <li>Meenakshi S, Manicka GD, Tamil MS. Total flavonoid and <i>in vitro</i> antioxidant activity of two<br/>seaweeds of Rameshwaram coast. <u>Global J. Pharmacol</u>, 2009;3:59-62.</li> </ol>                                    | <b>Formatted:</b> Font: 11 pt, Font color: Auto, Pattern: Clear, Highlight |
| 347<br>348<br>349 | <ol> <li>Zengin G, Cakmak YS, Guler GO, Aktumsek A. Antioxidant Properties of methanolic extract<br/>and fatty acid composition of <i>Centaurea urvillei</i> DC. subsp. Hayekiana Wagenitz. Rec Nat<br/>Pro. 2011;5:123-32.</li> </ol> | Formatted: Highlight   |
| 350<br>351        | <ol> <li>Obeidat M. Antimicrobial activity of some medicinal plants against multidrug resistant skin<br/>pathogens. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research. 2011;5(16):3856-60.</li> </ol>   |  |
| 352<br>353        | 15. Sieradzki K, Wu SW, Tomasz A. Inactivation of the methicillin resistance gene mecA in vancomycin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> . Micro Drug Resist. 1999;5(4):253–57.   |  |
| 354               | 16. Portillo A, Vila R, Freixa B, Adzet T, Canigueral S. Antifungal activity of Paraguayan   | Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt,                                   |
| 355               | plants used in traditional medicine. J Ethnopharmacol, 2001;76;93-98.  | Formatted: Highlight   |
| 356               |  | Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt,<br>Highlight                      |
| 357               | 4617. Perea S, Patterson TF. Antifungal resistance in pathogenic fungi. Clin Infec Dis.  | Formatted: Highlight   |
| 358               | 2002;35:1073-80.   | <b>Formatted:</b> Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt, Highlight                  |
| 359               | 1718 Barbering MG, Silva N, Reboucas C, Barreiro K, Alcantara AP, Martins NF, Evaluation   | Formatted: Highlight   |
| 360               | of blood stream infections by <i>Candida</i> in three tertiary bosnitals in Salvador, Brazil: a  | Formatted: Highlight   |
| 361               | case-control study. Braz J Infect Dis. 2006;10:36-40.  |  |
| 362               | ▲  | <b>Formatted:</b> Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0"                         |
| 363               | 4819. Colombo AL, Nucci M, Park BJ, Nouer SA, Arthington-Skaggs B, Da Matta DA. et al.   | Formatted: Highlight   |
| 364               | Brazilian network candidemia study. Epidemiology of candidemia in Brazil: anationwide  |  |
| 365               | sentinel surveillance of candidemia in eleven medical centers. J Clin Microbio.  |  |
| 366               | 2006;44:2816-23.   |  |
| 367               | 4920. Dicko MH, Hilhorst R, Gruppen H, Traore AS, Laane C, van Berkel WJH. et al.  | <b>Formatted:</b> Highlight  |
| 368               | Comparison of content in phenolic compounds, polyphenol oxidase, and peroxidase in   |  |
| 369               | grains of fifty sorghum varieties from Burkina Faso. Journal of Agricultural and Food  |  |
| 370               | Chemistry.2002;50(13):3780-88.   |  |

11. Kelly SA, Havrilla CM, Brady TC, Abramo KH, Levin ED. Oxidative stress in toxicology:

| 371<br>372<br>373        | 2021. Savitre M, Isara P, Nitaya SL, Worapan S. Radical Scavenging Activity and Total<br>Phenolic Content of Medicinal Plants Used in Primary Health Care. Journal of Pharm.<br>Science. 2004;9(1):32-35.   | Formatted: Highlight  |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| 374<br>375<br>376        | 2422. Chatatikun M, –Chiabchalard A. Phytochemical screening and free radical scavenging activities of orange baby carrot and carrot ( <i>Daucus carota</i> Linn) root crude extracts. Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research. 2013;5(4):97-102.                                 | Formatted: Highlight  |
| 377<br>378<br>379        | 2223. Liyana-Pathirana CM,- Shahidi F. Antioxidant activity of commercial soft and hard wheat ( <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) as affected by gastric pH conditions. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2005;53(7):2433-40.  | Formatted: Highlight  |
| 380<br>381               | 2324. Perez C, Pauli M, Bazergue P. An antibiotic assay by agar-well diffusion method. Acta<br>Biologiae et Medecine Experimentaalis. 1990;15:113- 115.   | <b>Formatted:</b> Highlight   |
| 382<br>383               | 2425. Mahida Y, Mohan JSS. Screening of plants for their potential antibacterial activity against<br>Staphylococcus and Salmonella spp. Nat. Prod. Rad. 2007;6:301-305.   | <b>Formatted:</b> Highlight   |
| 384<br>385               | 2526. Omoregie EH, Ibrahim I, Nneka I, Sabo AM, Koma OS, Ibumeh OJ. Broad Spectrum<br>Antimicrobial Activity of <i>Psidium guajava</i> Linn. Leaf. Nature and Science. 2010;8(12):43-50.  | <b>Formatted:</b> Highlight   |
| 386<br>387<br>388        | 2627. Delahaye C, Rainford L, Nicholson A, Mitchell S, Lido J, Ahmad M. Antibacterial and<br>antifungal analysis of crude extracts from the leaves of <i>Callistemon viminalis</i> . Journal of<br>Medical and biological Sciences. 2009;3(1):1-7.  | Formatted: Highlight  |
| 389<br>390<br>391        | 2728. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS). Reference Method for<br>Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts—Second Edition: Approved<br>Standard M27-A2. Wayne, PA, USA: NCCLS, 2002.  | <b>Formatted:</b> Highlight   |
| 392<br>393<br>394<br>395 | 2829. Scorzoni L, Benaducci T, Almeida AMF, Silva DHS, Bolzani VS, Gianinni MJ. 2007. The<br>use of standard methodology for determination of antifungal activity of natural products<br>against medical yeasts Candida sp. and Cryptococcus sp. Braz J Microbiol. 2007;-38: 391-<br>397. | Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight |
| 396<br>397               | 2930. Dikshit A, Husain A. Antifungal action of some essential oils against animal pathogens.<br>Fitoterapia. 1984;55:171–176   | Formatted: Font color: Black, Highlight Formatted: Highlight                        |
| 398<br>399<br>400        | <b>3031</b> . Beckman CH. Phenolic-storing cells: keys to programmed cell death and periderm formation in wilt disease resistance and in general defence responses in plants? Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 2000;57:101–110.  | ( Formatted: Highlight  |

| 401<br>402  | 3432. Pandey KB, Rizvi SI. Plant_polyphenols as dietary antioxidants in human health and disease. Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity. 2009;2(5):270-278.  | Formatted: Highlight  |
|---|--|---|
| 403<br>404  | <b>3233</b> . Graf BA, Milbury PE, Blumberg JB. Flavonols, flavonones, flavanones and human health:<br>Epidemological evidence. J Med Food. 2005; 8:281-90.  | Formatted: Highlight  |
| 405<br>406  | 3334. Arts ICW, Hollman PCH. Polyphenols and disease risk in epidemiologic studies. Am J   | Formatted: Highlight  |
| 407<br>408<br>409   | 2015. HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS/MS screening of bioactive components from <i>Rhus coriaria</i> L. (Sumac) fruits. Food Chemistry. 2015;166:179-191. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.06.011  | Formatted: Highlight  |
| 410<br>411<br>412   | <u>2536</u> . Barotto MC, Tattini M–, Galardi C, Pinelli P, Romani A, Visioli F. et al. Antioxidant activity of galloyl quinic derivatives isolated from <i>P. lentiscus</i> leaves. Free Radical Res. 2003;37:405-12  | Formatted: Highlight  |
| 413<br>414  | <b>3637</b> . Hou AJ, Peng LY, Liu YZ, Lin ZW, Sun HD. Gallotannins and related polyphenol from <i>Pistacia weinmannifolia</i> . Planta Medica. 2000;66:6246.  | Formatted: Highlight  |
| 415<br>416  | 3738. de Groot H, Rauen U. Tissue injury by reactive oxygen species and the protective effects of  | Formatted: Highlight  |
| 410   | navonoids. Fundam cim Priamacol. 1996,12.249-35.   | Formatted: Font: Not Italic   |
| 417<br>418<br>419   | <ul> <li>Alali FQ, Tawaha Kh, El-Elimat T, Syouf M, El-Fayad M, Abulaila Kh, et al. Antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of aqueous and methanolic extracts of Jordanian plants: an ICBG project. Natural Product Research. 2007;21(12): 1121-1131.</li> </ul>  | Formatted: Font: Not Italic Formatted: Highlight  |
| 417<br>418<br>419<br>420<br>421   | <ul> <li>Alali FQ, Tawaha Kh, El-Elimat T, Syouf M, El-Fayad M, Abulaila Kh, et al. Antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of aqueous and methanolic extracts of Jordanian plants: an ICBG project. Natural Product Research. 2007;21(12): 1121-1131.</li> <li>Erturk O. Antibacterial and antifungal effects of alcoholic extracts of 41 medicinal plants growing in Turky. Czech J. Food sci. 2010;28:53-60.</li> </ul>   | Formatted: Font: Not Italic Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight   |
| <ul> <li>417</li> <li>418</li> <li>419</li> <li>420</li> <li>421</li> <li>422</li> <li>423</li> <li>424</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Alali FQ, Tawaha Kh, El-Elimat T, Syouf M, El-Fayad M, Abulaila Kh, et al. Antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of aqueous and methanolic extracts of Jordanian plants: an ICBG project. Natural Product Research. 2007;21(12): 1121-1131.</li> <li>Erturk O. Antibacterial and antifungal effects of alcoholic extracts of 41 medicinal plants growing in Turky. Czech J. Food sci. 2010;28:53-60.</li> <li>Rahman A, Kim E, Kang SCH. Antibacterial and antioxidant properties of <i>Ailanthus altissima</i> swingle leave extract to reduce foodborne pathogens and spoiling bacteria. Journal of Food Safety. 2009;29(4):499–510.</li> </ul>   | Formatted: Font: Not Italic Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight  |
| <ul> <li>417</li> <li>418</li> <li>419</li> <li>420</li> <li>421</li> <li>422</li> <li>423</li> <li>424</li> <li>425</li> <li>426</li> <li>427</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Alali FQ, Tawaha Kh, El-Elimat T, Syouf M, El-Fayad M, Abulaila Kh, et al. Antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of aqueous and methanolic extracts of Jordanian plants: an ICBG project. Natural Product Research. 2007;21(12): 1121-1131.</li> <li>Erturk O. Antibacterial and antifungal effects of alcoholic extracts of 41 medicinal plants growing in Turky. Czech J. Food sci. 2010;28:53-60.</li> <li>Rahman A, Kim E, Kang SCH. Antibacterial and antioxidant properties of <i>Ailanthus altissima</i> swingle leave extract to reduce foodborne pathogens and spoiling bacteria. Journal of Food Safety. 2009;29(4):499–510.</li> <li>Patra JK, Dhal NK, Thatoi HN. In vitro bioactivity and phytochemical screening of Suaeda maritime (Dumort): A mangrove associate from Bhitarkanika, India. Asian Pacific J. of Tropical Med. 2011;4(9):727-734</li> </ul> | Formatted: Font: Not Italic         Formatted: Highlight         Formatted: Highlight         Formatted: Highlight         Formatted: Highlight |

| 430<br>431        | 4344. Ayati A, Falahati M, Irannejad H, Emami S. Synthesis, in vitro antifungal evaluation and in Formatted: Highlight silico study of 3-azolyl-4-chromanone phenylhydrazones. Daru. 2012;20:46.   |
|-------------------|--|
| 432<br>433<br>434 | <b>4445</b> . Arif T, Mandal TK, Dabu R. Natural products: Anti-fungal agents derived from plants. In: <b>Formatted:</b> Highlight<br>Tiwari VK, Mishra BB, editors. Opportunity, challenge and scope of natural products in<br>medicinal chemistry. India: Research Signpost; 2011. |
| 435<br>436        | 4546. Ali-Shtayeh MS, Abu Ghdeib SI. Antifungal activity of plant extracts against<br>dermatophytes. Mycoses. 1999;42:-665-672.  |
| 437<br>438<br>439 | 4647. Ali-Shtayeh MS, Zayed RAG, Jamous RM. Palestinian plants as a source of <b>Formatted:</b> Highlight antimycotics. In: Rai MK, Mares D, Eds. Plant Derived Antimycotics Binghamton: The Haworth Press; 2003:399-427.  |
| 440<br>441        | 4748. Husein AI, AI-Nuri MA, Zatar NA, Jondi W, Ali-Shtayeh MS, –Warad I. Isolation and Formatted: Highlight antifungal evaluation of <i>J. regia</i> L. extracts. IJRRAS. 2012;13(2):655-60.  |
| 442<br>443<br>444 | <ul> <li>4849. Abdolmaleki M, Panjeke N, Bahraminejad S, Abbasi S. Antifungal activity of extracts of different sumac (<i>Rhus coriaria</i> <u>L</u>) organs on four phytopathogenic fungi species.</li> <li>Agricultural Research. 2008;7(4A):121-31.</li> </ul>                    |
| 445<br>446<br>447 | 4950. Orhan Deliorman D, Hartevioğlu A, Küpeli E, Yeşilada E. In vivo anti-inflammatory and <b>Formatted:</b> Highlight antinociceptive activity of the crude extract and fractions from Rosa canina L. fruits. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2007;112:394-400.                                 |
| 448<br>449        | 5951. Oliveira AP, Pereira JA, -Andrade PB. Targeted metabolites and biological activities of <b>Formatted:</b> Highlight <i>Cydonia oblonga</i> Miller leaves. Food Chemistry. 2008;111:393-99.   |
| 450<br>451<br>452 | 5452. Zidorn C, Schubert B, Stuppner H. Phenolics as chemosystematic markers in and for the genus <i>Crepis</i> (Asteraceae, Cichorieae). Sci. Pharm. 2008;76:743-50. doi:10.3797/scipharm.0810-25   |
| 152               |  |