



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_ISRR_5867
Title of the Manuscript:	Scaling Up Community ART Groups in Mozambique
Type of the Article	Health system program review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. There is need to pinpoint the CAG explanation and insert the figure within the text. 2. Discussion must start with the importance of your findings not the recommendation	1. The figures were inserted into the text. The text is more concise now. Some paragraphs were removed or shortened. 2. The discussion was reworked. First the impact of CAG is resumed. Then the importance of community participation is explained, and a link is made with similar programs described in the literature.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Figurative explanation can also be extended to the inclusion criteria and the ART sites at national scale. 2. Site map showing the scale up of the CAG program could enrich the explanation	1. As the inclusion criteria depended on the phase and context (rural or semi-urban) we found it difficult to put them in a figure or table. 2. We think a map with 30 dots spread all over Mozambique will not be that informative.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. The introduction has really cemented the gravity of the problem- ART uptake in communities 2. There is good knowledge contribution on this new ART program. 3. The lay out of the paper must follow the Journal requirements.	1 & 2: Thank you 3: The paper was reworked to fit the requirements. We used the template send.