



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                     |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <b><u>International STD Research &amp; Reviews</u></b>                                                              |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>2014_I-SRR_10946</b>                                                                                             |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>SYPHILIS AND HUMAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS CO-INFECTION AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN NIGERIA: PREVALENCE AND TREND</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b><u>Original Research Article</u></b>                                                                             |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment:</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>                                                                    |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <p>This study is a good attempt to find out the extent of co-infection of syphilis and HIV in the area of the author's practice.</p> <p><b>The introduction needs to be reviewed to state clearly what is known about co-infection in the area of study and what the author thinks is lacking. Also how the new finding will affect practice. Method of data collection and analysis must be stated.</b></p> <p><b>The four tables in the results can be accommodated in two tables.</b><br/><b>Avoid repeating the result in the discussion section.</b></p> | <p>The introduction had been reviewed as suggested by the reviewer.</p> <p>The tables were still intact; if summarise into two tables it will not give the full representation of the research work on yearly bases to study the trend and the prevalence.</p> |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | <p>Some grammatical errors should be corrected. Some of the references need to be modified to conform to the journal's pattern.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Noted                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |