



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2014_I-SRR_12181
Title of the Manuscript:	Features of Sexual Behavior of University Students in a Country with High HIV Prevalence: A Qualitative Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Third sentence of your paper can be rewritten (line11-13) 2. Results section in the abstract could be written in a elaborate manner, with more findings of the study 3. You can review more update literature here (e.g., update study of UNAIDS etc). (Line 39-40) 4. Need update data (line 48). Good to see condom use literature in the introduction. However, you do not have any specific data on the same in the abstract. 5. So backdated literature on risky behaviour. What about the update literature on the same (line 55)? 6. Good. What about update behavioral surveillance survey in Ethiopia? 7. There are no data on these variables. (line 246). 8. Did you conduct any IDI on the instructor (line 160-61)	1. Accepted 2. Accepted 3. Accepted 4. Corrected 5. Corrected 6. To our best source, no other BSS. 7. The data are in line 129, 132, 140, 143-144, 153-155, 225-229. 8. No, instructors were not involved as study participant.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. You can mention the study area (Hawassa University, Ethiopia) in your title of the paper 2. You would rather write pattern instead of features (of sexual behaviour) in the title. 3. As above (line 68). 4. You can easily avoid some minor mistakes such as capitalization of K (Knowledge) (line 52) etc. 5. "The detail description of the methods is found elsewhere [18, 19]." This is redundant, I think. 6. You have written the methodology chapter well. You can provide some subsections under the chapter, including study area, methods, data collection, data analysis etc). 7. Similarly, in the results chapter, you also can provide some subheadings of the findings. For example, socio-demographic factors, reasons of risk behaviours, features (patterns) of risk behaviours, consequences of unsafe sex etc. Otherwise, the readers may feel bored to go through the findings.	1. Accepted 2. Accepted 3. Accepted 4. Accepted 5. This is to give information for readers who will be interested in the detail description of the project. 6. Accepted. 7. Accepted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Check the whole reference section	8. Accepted