



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2014_I-SRR_8918
Title of the Manuscript:	Risky Sexual behaviours and Associated Factors among People with Disabilities in Dessie City, Northeast Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract should be more informative. Are participant's sexually debuted earlier or later disability? Authors should argue the reason to consider sex before age 18 years-old as a risky sexual behaviour. In fact, age, casual or multiple sex partners in the most recent year or sexual intercourse with sex workers can only qualify as risky sexual behaviour if condom is not used consistently. Because the sample size, it is preferable to dichotomized each variables. Authors must repeat the analysis. Reviewer suggests reporting all AOR as risk factor (greater than 1.0). Please, authors must omit AOR as protector factor (lower than 1.0), only with a change of reference group. Table 2. Stratification by gender is not necessary.	The participants of the study were sexually debuted after the disability. In Ethiopian context early marriage even before 7 years of age had been a culture and currently government is acting against early marriage and sex. Accordingly sex before 18 years is regarded as risky behaviour, even you can find a lot of researches that consider early sexual imitation particularly sex before 18 years is risky. The analysis was recomputed and the revised part is incorporated in the manuscript.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Table 4. It is unnecessary, at least reporting sexual intercourse after using substances.	
Minor REVISION comments	Authors must review redaction of some sentences.	The paragraphs were revised and corrections were in terms of decreasing sentences and words in the sentences.
Optional/General comments	It is a good research using a large sample. Moreover, authors interviewed people with disability who participate rarely in sexual behaviour studies. Authors omitted information about Ethical Board revision, and informed consent by participants.	