



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2014_I-SRR_8918
Title of the Manuscript:	Risky Sexual behaviours and Associated Factors among People with Disabilities in Dessie City, Northeast Ethiopia

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Substantial improvement was made on the results section by incorporating the comments. But, the methods section was not improved. The authors were arguing to the comments by justifying their own views rather than programmatic implications. At least they can include the weaknesses in the limitation part. e.g. sample size issue was justified as all inclusive, but the title says, “disabled people (members of association + non members” but, only association members were included. The applicability of interview for mentally challenged and having hearing difficulties: they were arguing as no bias, as to me still there may be possibility of bias to be included in limitations section. Similarly the issues of age: 60 years old today having sex before age <18 42 years old is not at risk today to be intervened unless other risky behaviours exist. Research findings needs to be contextualized rather than taking dry definition and applying to every situation. Editorial and grammatical errors are unaddressed, needs to be reviewed by language expertise so as to improve the quality of article and standard of the Journal.	Thanks for your thoughtful comments. The study was conducted only among people with disabilities who are a member of disabled associations; however, people with disabilities who are not a member of disabled association were not included in our study. As it was stated there are 442 disabled individuals in the disabled associations in the city and all individuals were included in the study; that is why we mentioned the procedure used was all inclusive.