



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2015_I-SRR_16131
Title of the Manuscript:	Co receptor Usage of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Strains among Individuals Presenting for HIV Counselling and Testing in Ibadan, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>In the results we don't see where CCR5 cases are numbered; in the discussion is reported to about 31% . If it is the difference between total and X4, put it in the table 1 to complete.</p> <p>In the discussion, line 162-164, Moreover.....Sab sahara Africa. What is the link or relevance of this sentence at that place since it sounds the majority of your subjects is rapid/high or X4 phenotype?</p>	<p>The CCR5 cases have been numbered and the difference put in table 1.</p> <p>The paragraph has been re-written.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study brings a contribution to the knowledge on HIV chemokine coreceptor usage in African patients.	