



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2015_I-SRR_16131
Title of the Manuscript:	Co receptor Usage of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Strains among Individuals Presenting for HIV Counselling and Testing in Ibadan, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>1-I think that authors would have to improve the introduction. The first paragraph is not clear.</p> <p>2-The references are very old, the authors would have to update it and then they could complete the introduction</p> <p>3-Please revise the title of the article there are words that could be deleted.</p> <p>4-In the abstract author must improve the conclusions</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction has been improved 2. References have been updated with references from 2013(2), 2011(3), 2010(1) and 2009(4); and introduction completed 3. The title of the article has been revised 4. Conclusion has been improved.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		