



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International STD Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	2015_I-SRR_17781
Title of the Manuscript:	Specific issues in the management of hepatocellular carcinoma in Hepatitis B Virus/Hepatitis C Virus-Human Immunodeficiency Virus co-infected patients
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>(1) In the Abstract the authors stated ".....in HBV or HCV-HIV co-infected patients." It is clearer to say "..... patients with HIV who are co-infected with HBV/HCV".</p> <p>(2) The Abstract contains little data.</p>	<p>1. agreed. Abstract corrected accordingly</p> <p>2. abstract in part re-written and expanded</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Line 62, "..... a constant increase increase over time" should be "..... a constant increase over time".	Modified accordingly
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Please clearly state this is a review, but not a systematic review. A systematic review has the inherent defect of harbouring the authors' personal biases.	Done with a new sentence stating the purpose of the review at the end of the introduction