



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_20425
Title of the Manuscript:	Vaginal microbiocinosis in women with infertility and parasite invasion
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	No	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Material and methods 82 cases not 81 With age better to do mean and standard deviation Results Line 75: In 14% of examined women with primary 76 infertility ascarides eggs were found in feces, enterobiosis was detected in 13% of patients. Among 77 parasites in 41% of patients increased level of Ig G to ascarides was found, in 18% - to toxocara, in 78 6% - to lamblia (numbers should be written plus percentage) In table (1) why total number not 82 as total cases number</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Enas Shaban Khater</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Microbiology and immunology, Banha University, Egypt</i>