
SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_21756	
Title of the Manuscript:	"Screening of Suspected HIV-AIDS Patients: A Comparative Study Evaluating HIV-ICT Device and ELISA"	
Type of the Article		

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that \underline{NO} manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The objective proposed by the authors has been proven previously. They did not search enough the references. Here is an article published on 2014 about the same subject: ISRN AIDS Volume 2014 (2014), Article ID 296840, 5 pages	
	http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/296840 Research Article Rapid Tests versus ELISA for Screening of HIV Infection: Our Experience from a Voluntary Counselling and Testing Facility of a Tertiary Care Centre in North India	
	Bhanu Mehra, Sonali Bhattar, Preena Bhalla, and Deepti Rawat Department of Microbiology, Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi 110002, India	
	Received 31 January 2014; Accepted 24 March 2014; Published 7 April 2014 Academic Editors: S. Dube, W. Fu, L. Gray, M. Monsour, and M. Patel	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nélida Virginia Gómez
Department, University & Country	Buenos Aires University, Argentina