



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2015_I-SRR_16131
Title of the Manuscript:	Co receptor Usage of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Strains among Individuals Presenting for HIV Counselling and Testing in Ibadan, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The paper is well written. Perhaps the discussion is too long. My feeling is that the paper may be beyond the scope of potential readers of the manuscript.</p> <p>The drawbacks of the papers are: a) The population studied may be biased by sample size, and by the time of sampling. b) Tropism has been tested on Proviral DNA, where a higher proportion of X4 viruses have been reported. c) All patients are infected with nonBs, and some of the bioinformatic tools for correceptor usage estimation have been trained mainly on B subtypes, which may also explain the higher proportion of X4s.</p> <p>I attach the pdf with some additional comments</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Spain