



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International STD Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	2015_I-SRR_17781
Title of the Manuscript:	Specific issues in the management of hepatocellular carcinoma in Hepatitis B Virus/Hepatitis C Virus-Human Immunodeficiency Virus co-infected patients
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Despite the importance of the subject, I find it difficult to understand because of the improper English language. I suggest that the manuscript be reviewed and/or rewritten. "Lines 56-59" is one example. Also, Author(s) should pay attention to the number of the patients (1678 in the original paper).</p> <p>Line 9- the Abstract should summarize the review, it is not a table of contents. In other word, the reader should be able to get a summary and conclusions from the abstract.</p> <p>Line 106- Some of the abbreviation do not have details e.g. Magnetic resonance (MRI), imaging is missing</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>USA</b>