



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2013_IBRR_5220
Title of the Manuscript:	ATRIAL FUNCTION AND GLUTATHIONE IN CHILDREN WITH IRON DEFICIENCY ANEMIA

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The author has responded and corrected most of the initial comments. However there were few comments needed to be incorporated. - The title has been corrected. It would have been better if the place of the study was added clearly as follows: Atrial Function and Glutathione in Children with Iron Deficiency Anemia-Tanta-Egypt-2012 - The abstract: The study design and the place and duration the study should be included under the subtitle " Methodology". In addition a spell check is needed for the date of the study (2012) instead of (20012). - The author has used the term " methodology " in the abstract and the term "materials and methods" in the article body. The author is advised to use only one of the two terms. Since the author has drawn blood from the study subjects for estimation of the Glutathione level, then it is more appropriate to use the term " Materials and methods" both in the abstract and in the article body. - The author stated in the article body that he has obtained an ethical approval from the Institutional Review Board, Faculty of Medicine. Tanta University (lines 74-75). However at the end of the article still under the subtitle: ethical approval, lines 400-401, it is stated that the ethical approval was obtained from the Paediatric Department, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University. The author is advised to state correctly the source of the ethical approval i.e. institutional or both institutional and departmental. - In the initial review, the author was requested to state something about the data collection tool. The author response is inadequate as shown in line 167. It is expected that the author should state clearly what tool was used for data collection from the study subjects before data entry i.e. study subject record including chapters for questions about the demographic data, history ... and other chapters for the results of the investigations. - In the initial review, the author was requested to carry out spell and grammar checking for some points under the subtitle: limitations and clinical implications, the response is inadequate as shown in lines 368 and 370. It is suggested that the statement is to be as follows: <i>There was a number of limitations to our study. First, it is of a single-center nature. Second, the study included relatively few numbers of patients; despite that the statistical power of the study was more than 90%. Also, we did not assess the systolic and diastolic functions of the right ventricle which is an important limitation of our study.</i> - The author has used the word " <i>non-significant</i>" in lines 201, 204, 218 and 224, I think the correct word is " <i>insignificant</i>"	Correction done according to the reviewer comments. This was done according to the format given by the editor. However, I replaced (Materials and Methods) to be at the top to include both study design and the place and duration the study. Correction of 20012 was done Correction done Correction done. I hope I did what the reviewer want. Correction done. Correction done.