



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2013_IBRR_8146
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of co-infusion of fresh frozen plasma and nafamostat mesilate on its clotting activities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Title needs modification, the authors indicated in the introduction that 'examined the effects of various anticoagulant agents including heparin, sodium citrate, GM, and NM on clotting activities'. But the title captures only nafamostat mesilate. 2. The methodology is poorly written. Authors should justify the <u>invitro</u> design of the study and the use of <u>expired</u> FFP. Authors should obtain permission from proprietors of NM, GM and other products before publication Details of approving institution for ethical clearance should be provided	1. Another reviewer's comment suggested that the title of our papaer was not adequate. Therefore, We changed the titile. Effects of co-infusion of plasma, whole blood and anticogulants on its clotting activities 2 We described the methology about expired FFP (63-66). It was not necessary that the permission from proprietors of NM, GM and other products, because all the agents are usually commercial. We added the sentences about ethical comment.(74, 190-191).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The actual test done using the software should be stated. 2. Authors should convert the tables presented to graphs.	1. We had already written the software, JMP in the methodology. 2. Only Table 4 was converted into Figure 1.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Discussion and Conclusion should be merged 152: space the words 'NMbutnot GMreducesthe'	Discussion and Conclusion should be merged (171). We corrected the space.