



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2015_IBRR_16178
Title of the Manuscript:	DIAGNOSTIC FOR BETA THALASSEMIA MINOR
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Review should be focus only beta thallasemia minor whereas author discussed all thalasemia categories. It creating bias 2. Author should start the article from biochemical to molecular diagnosis i.e HPLC and DNA based detection. Clinical to lab diagnosis must be discussed.	To give the background of thalassemia to reader we have discussed all. HPLC is discussed in article.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Fig. 1 & 2 reference citation required. From where author picked it. 2. Title should be more elaborating 3. Abstract should be extraction of text 4. Recent references in related research must be included	Noted with thanks. Amendments have been done accordingly.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Review article in present format is not informative. 2. Major revision required 3. All diagnostic tools must be clearly discussed.	Article is mainly focused on early detection that is the reason we have discussed only few not all.