



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/IJBcRR/2017
Title of the Manuscript:	Classification of Some Wild Yam Species Based on Their Chemical Composition

General guideline: Reviewers are requested to follow these guidelines during review: *(Note: Title of different sections as proposed below may differ in case of review paper / case reports)*

- Introduction *(Is the problem/objective of this study original, important and well defined?)*
- Materials & methods *(Kindly comment on the suitability of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided to allow peers to evaluate and/or replicate the work)*
- Results & discussion *(Kindly comment on: 1. Are the data well controlled and robust? 2. Authors should provide relevant references during discussion. 3. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Biased claims should be pointed out. 4. Are statistical analyses must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out?)*
- Conclusion *(Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data, presented inside the manuscript only)*
- Are all the references cited relevant, adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?
- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)
<u>COMPULSORY REVISION</u> comments	The authors are advised to follow the comments given below very carefully in revising the manuscript. The manuscript has been marked for queries and they are listed below: 1. Introduction, The literature review is poor and inadequate for the purposes of the paper. Generally speaking, the introduction section is a "teaching section" of the papers and it needs to provide the reader with the necessary background to be able to understand the problem, the aim, and the way adopted to achieve it. The introduction of this paper is too schematic and not useful in those senses. 2. Conclusion. Poor comments. 3. The English used in this manuscript is still not well enough and hard to understand. Please kind check and revised. In fact, the whole article seems to be meaningless since it didn't show any discussion within the results and discussion section. Only the present of the results were shown. Please kindly reorganized and rewritten the whole article, otherwise, this will be very ridiculous	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript entitled "Classification of Some Wild Yam Species Based on Their Chemical Composition ", this is an interesting research to study the classification of eight species of spontaneous yams in Côte d'Ivoire according to their nutrients and their antinutritional factors by principal component analysis (PCA). The manuscript as written is NOT Suitable for publication in International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review at this moment. However, a Major and Resubmission of the paper is required before it can be accepted for publication in the Journal.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jinchyau Peng
Department, University & Country	Department of Bio-Industrial Mechatronics Engineering National Chung-Hsing University