SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	**2013_IJBcRR_5019**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluation of the concentration of selected heavy metals and the effects on soil enzymatic activities in an abandoned cement factory Nigercem Nkalagu and the environs**
Type of the Article	**Research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a nice little paper and no compulsory revisions are required	
Minor REVISION comments	There are a number of typos and I have noted as many as possible:	

Line	**Comment**
40	but at a high
40	alter the
47	organisms only while
47	(Li *et al*., 2005) or indeed ignored.
50	The toxic effects
52	cycling and impared
52	Sentence starting "Toxicity to..." is not clear
56	solubility, availability
56	The high pH
Section 2.1	What years are the authors referring to in this section?
65-66	activities took place in this are
66	42 years
Fig 1	Map is a little basic

	77 — 1 km (not Ikm) 78 — samples 82 — What is a sac? Table 1 — Last two rows should be separated 216 — results 227 — results 229 — to increased aerobic conditions 230 — activities more than 254-255 — concentration at 10cm	
Optional/General comments	The fact that the metal levels and enzymatic activity are being estimated with a view towards assessing the suitability of soil for agricultural use is a little lost in the document and could be better emphasised in the introduction	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer