



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012 IJBcRR 2639
Title of the Manuscript:	Activatory Effect of Germination on Catalytic Capacity of Urease Extracted from Beans Samples (Effect of Germination on the Kinetics of Urease from Beans)

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript lacks on originality and there are several statements that are not clear at all. For example, "best urease ever" line 76, why? The figures must be better prepared, perhaps combined to easier analysis of the data. Also units must be presented. The methods are extremely short and some important things are not given, units are written in an arbitrary way. The screening is quite simple and I do not see originality on the presented work. I suggest the authors to pay better attention before submitting the manuscript to any periodical, since it looks like it was not taken correct attention before submission.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer