



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/IJBcRR/2247
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Variations of Sorghum malt/ Sweet Potato flour ratio on the Quality of Glucose Syrup produced through Enzyme Conversion activity of Sorghum Malt.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper presents an interesting topic but methods, measurements and some procedures are not completely exposed. Therefore, a satisfactory understanding of the results is not possible. Some chemical issues comparing partial acid hidrolysis with enzymatic hydrolysis, for example, must be included in order to compare efficiency of proposed method. The use of different enzymes indicates to describe completely the proportion of used mixture and any special condition of enzymes application during experiments. To expand results discussion including some chemical hypothesis about results is required. Graphics and statistics from results may contribute to this aim. A simple enumeration of obtained results is not enough for being published as a journal paper. The relevance of results is not indicated in the paper, and for any reader out of Nigeria, it is not evident. The authors must review all my comments and corrections directly indicated into the .pdf version of the manuscript. In addition, all the previous suggestion must be implemented in order to obtain a relevant work inside the field. If this is not possible, the work is a simple experimental report without scientific contribution.	
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer