

-----Original Message-----

from: Ian Cock <xxx@yyy.zzz>

to: Managing Editor

date: Sun, Jan 6, 2013 at 4:46 PM

subject: Re: Request for evaluation of revised paper, reference number: 2012 IJBcRR 2438

Dear editor,

I have reviewed the revised manuscript and whilst I do find it improved in several ways, many of my original comments have not been addressed in the manuscript. I have responded to the authors responses on the attached form (my new comments are in blue). I have also attached the new review form. My major problem with the original manuscript was the grammatical errors. These have been largely addressed. However, a number of errors have not been corrected. I originally suggested that the authors would benefit from using the spell/grammar check function of the word processor. They have obviously not heeded this advice as the errors I am detecting are also detected by the spell check. However, this aspect has been greatly improved and only minor changes are now required in this aspect.

As for my other points in my original review, many of these have been adequately explained in answer to the reviewers comments, yet this detail has not also been included in the manuscript for the readers benefit. It is for the understanding of the reader that I raised these points, not for my own benefit. For example, the significance of the plant selection has been well explained in the response to reviewer form, yet none of this detail has been included in the manuscript. Similarly, see my comment about the statistics in the attached form.

Other of my original comments have not been addressed at all. My comment that much more detail is needed than what is currently provided in the discussion (much of what is provided is a rehashing of the results - what is needed is a discussion of their significance) is needed has not been addressed at all.

In summary, I do not believe the authors have adequately addressed my original issues in their revised manuscript and as such I can not advise that it be accepted in its current form.

Regards,

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dr Ian Cock
Department, University & Country	Biomolecular and Physical Sciences, Griffith University, Australia

Note: Modification was done in this email ONLY to hide the identity.