



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review |
| Manuscript Number: | 2014_IJBcRR_10394 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Anti-venom Activity of Mucuna pruriens Leaves Extract Against Cobra Snake (Naja hannah) Venom |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line No. 2 the title is not complete 2. Introduction: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The elaboration on the properties of <i>Mucuna pruriens</i> species should be cut short since repetition of some properties was observed • Examples of the similar work with various plants should be included 3. The references in the text has are not uniform according to the instructions (line no.76, 131, 137) 4. Venom procurement details to be provided in the methods section 5. The complete plant name to be provided only once and then the genus are abbreviated (<i>M. pruriens</i>) | <p>Editor's mistake- it is corrected</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>It's done</p> <p>It has been corrected</p> <p>The cobra snake venom was procured in a sample bottle from National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Plateau State, Nigeria.</p> <p>It's done</p> |



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| | <p>6. Line no. 173 (Table 2): Provide an note to what + and ++ indicate</p> <p>The references should be in alphabetical order and are not uniform</p> | <p>It's done</p> <p>The references have been made uniform and placed in alphabetical order.</p> |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | <p>Line no. 9 – ml should be mL</p> <p>Line no. 14 – b.w- should have provided the abbreviation along with the expansion before using it.</p> <p>Line no. 15 – venom word should be replaced with mice.</p> <p>Line no. 57 – please verify the name of reference provided. Satyanarayanan or Satyanarayan?</p> <p>Line no. 114- Not clear, verify and revise the sentence</p> <p>Line no. 136 and 137 – Distribute the information into two sentences</p> <p>Line No. 171- check the spelling of terpenoids</p> <p>Line no. 188, 189- clearly mention the day the of results in text as provided in table</p> <p>Line no.230- Check the spelling of alkanoids</p> <p>Line no. 251 – the plant Should be italicized</p> | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | <p>The language should be improved</p> | |