



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_10394
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-venom Activity of Mucuna pruriens Leaves Extract Against Cobra Snake (Naja hannah) Venom
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How they decide to treat with this venom dose? 2. Why they did not prefer to show venom dose response inhibition by extracts? 3. The authors should make detailed discussion on the result of parameters that analysed by comparing with control, standard data and previous pubs data since plant extract exhibited various effect on AST, ALT, bilirubin, cholesterol etc. 4. Animal number of ethical approval should be mentioned. 5. The manuscript should be checked for grammar. 	<p>The venom dose was decided after several works in our laboratory Noted</p> <p>It's been done.</p> <p>The faculty of sciences has guidelines that guide students on how to perform experiments and handle animals. The faculty does not give numbers.</p> <p>Noted</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	If any ethical issue, please clarify	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		