



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_12237
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Serum Level of Neutrophil Elastase, Superoxide Dismutase and Nitric Oxide In COPD Patients: Correlation With Lung Function Test
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The results found in the proposed manuscript are already present in the literature; I am not finding any novel things in the results.	I am not agreeing with this reviewer because many studies in COPD are available on oxidative stress markers in exhaled breath condensate, sputum and in bronchialveolar lavage fluids. My study is different from the above studies. I have evaluated the oxidative stress markers in serum NO, SOD, NE and correlated it with FEV1% predicted. I found positive correlation between SOD and FEV1% predicted and negative correlation between serum NE and NO with FEV1% in COPD patients.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Had it been the population of a specific area such as polluted or from a specific community, then the results would be of important. As the human subjects are involved in the study, so there are ethical issues in this manuscript	I agree with the reviewer comments. I have made this correction in my manuscript. Thank You!!!
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		