



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_12680
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-Obesity Effects on Unripe Rubus coreanus Miquel Extract in High Fat Diet-Induced Obese Mice
Type of the Article	Short Communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment It's a complete and good work, I suggest author add reference and discussion.	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Two references should be dadded:(1) Aqueous extract of unripe Rubus coreanus fruit attenuates atherosclerosis by improving blood lipid profile and inhibiting NF-κB activation via phase II gene expression. J Ethnopharmacol. 2013,146(2):515-524. (2) Water extracts of immature Rubus coreanus regulate lipid metabolism in liver cells.Biol Pharm Bull. 2012,35(11):1907-1913. These references have relationship with this work, and author should added essential discussion.	We thank the reviewer for his/her kind appreciation of the strengths of our manuscript. The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 190, "also" should be deleted.	As you suggested, we added two references (line 35-36 and reference No. #11-12).
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		As you suggested, we deleted 'also' word (line 175).