



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_12821
Title of the Manuscript:	Sildenafil Determination by Using UPLC in Different Italian Viagra Tablets
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	line 29..molecular formulae should be written with appropriate subscripts line 39 –facilitating not facinating line 40—the word Pfizer should be accompanied by the registered trademark sign® In the methodology was a guard colum used? 2.3 Degradation studies carried out does not conform to current laboratory practices and thus results obtained here are not valid...is there a current reference for this method used?	The points at lines 29, 39 and 40 are been revised as suggested. In the methodology a 0.22 µm pre-column filters has been used as guard column. Degradation studies were done following guidelines reported by Massoud Mahmoudian (IRANIAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACOLOGY & THERAPEUTICS 4:72-75, 2005). Reference 10 in manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	the graph A showing linearity does not reflect values for low concentrations detected by UPLC, as line does not extend towards the origin.	Linearity was assessed by plotting concentration Vs area which is shown in Fig. 1A with a linear in the range of 2.6- 4.6 µg/mL for Sildenafil citrate with correlation coefficient 0.999. Moreover, it is not always correct that the calibration curve have to pass for zero.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		