

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_13188
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Dipeptidyl Peptidase IV Enzyme Activity in Serum of the Komodo Dragon (<i>Varanus komodoensis</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory REVISION</u> comments	1- The title must be centralized 2- Study design : either the used one's add or remove. 3-<i>via, in vivo</i> All must be italicized 4-use same format for number 5- introduction part : lack of validation need an up-to date ref.s 6-Main importance and need for this research must be concise and clear 7-Ref.s must be up-to date and revised 8-Citation must be revised [ex: no fig 5 only 4 fig is embedded, ref 16 and 17 are after ref 18-22] 9-line 18: different activities and only one ref [7] is given, clarify this? 10-line 20: what makes this right add ref to confirm this 11- line 28: add ref. 12-line 42: methods ref must add also ethical note is to be hinted despite its status at the end 13-Symbole of the wavelength added at the beginning, then used alone, not to be used without being given at the introduction or abstract 14- methodology must be well revised and validated with up-to date ref. s	1) The template supplied by the journal has the totle right justified 2) "either the used one's add or remove"? what does this mean? 3) latinterms have been italicized 4) "use same format for number"? what does this mean? Proper English dictates the spelling of numners below ten, and the use of numerical representation above ten 5) Lack of validation? How so? This is a very generic comment, and the authors do not agree. In addition, these references were carefully selected because they are orginal, and clearly demonstrate and validate the statements made in the manuscript. 6) The last paragraph if the Introduction clearly defines the need for the research

	15- please stick with the guides of the journals in the ref.part case a lot of format are used If there any competing interest issue, please clarify.	7) these references were carefully selected because they are original, and clearly demonstrate and validate the statements made in the manuscript. 8) Reference order corrected, and the Figure 5 reference has been eliminated 9) all of these different activities are discussed in this one reference 10) The reference is listed at the end of the sentence. 11) Reference added as suggested 12) Reference added as suggested 13) In a biochemistry journal, this symbol for wavelength should need not be define. If the editorial staff disagrees, then the authors will change 14) “methodology must be well revised” is very generic, what do you mean here? 15) references corrected
Optional/General comments		