



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_13317
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF NATURAL PRODUCTS ON SOME GLYCOSIDASES AND THEIR EXPECTED HYPOGLYCEMIC POTENTIAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. In used plant species short author names should be included for taxonomical authentication. 2. Specimen voucher numbers of used plants are missing in materials and methods section. 3. Plant species names should be in Italics in bar diagrams. 4. Figure 3 is of poor quality, include original picture. 5. In result section bar diagram-4, of blood sugar lowering effect of extracts, why asterisk is mark on control group bars. Comparison should be made between control and experimental group. 6. References are not as per format of journal.	This was already known for taxonomical classification. Voucher or registration numbers are not well known for the flora of Lebanon from which plants were collected. Done Done No asterisk was inserted as a mark for control groups in Fig. 4. References were written according to the instruction of the journal. A full stop was inserted at the end of each reference in revised version.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Minor revision is required.	