



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_13353
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of anti-inflammatory activities of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of <i>Gomphrena celosioides</i> Linn
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper though of importance needs to be rewritten. There are numerous errors of syntax and improper use of language. Secondly the figures and tables are highly repetitive. Thirdly regarding reference No. 10: “. It can be used for the treatment of human and animal diseases [10] “. That paper actually deals with a very similar subject matter where the “anti-inflammatory and analgesic properties of aqueous leaf extracts of Gomphrena celosioides and Momordica charantia in rats and mice” was reported. The anti inflammatory effect of aqueous extracts of the leaves of <i>Gomphrena celosioides</i> and <i>Momordica charantia</i> was studied on carrageenan-induced rat paw oedema as in the paper at hand. It is suggested that the previous study be discussed and incorporated into the body of the paper while at the same time making the manuscript more concise and terse.	You are right. But really our objective was to see the extract which could more active. Thus you can see the various modifications on the document. Thanks you very much
<u>Minor</u> REVISION commentsNo. 10		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		