



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_6569
Title of the Manuscript:	The Importance of the RAS Interacting with the HGF/c-Met Receptor System in Hypertensive Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Line 223 Abbreviation HGF/c-MET should be mentioned in full form atleast once in the text 2. Line 390 Ref#1 Authors are missing 3. Line 392 Ref# 2 Authors name misspelled and volume number is wrong 4. Line 397 Ref#3 Authors are missing 5. Line 487 Ref#37 Authors are missing 6. Line 502 Ref#43 is completely wrong. Could not find on PubMed as well on journal's website 7. Line 606 Ref #80 Page numbers are wrong	1. Section 1.2: "hepatocyte growth factor (HGF)" has been spelled out in full prior to the abbreviation. Our mistake. 2. Ref #1: the two missing author's names have been added. 3. Ref #2: We have corrected the spelling of Danaei. 4. Ref #3: The missing author names have been added. 5. Ref #37. There is only one author on this paper as indicated. 6. Ref. #43: We mistakenly indicated 1991 when the article was published in 1992. This has been corrected. 7. Ref #80: the page numbers have been corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Line 18-19. The data presented here (prevalence of DM) should be updated from IDF 5th Edition 2. Line 22 No need of Reference no 5 3. Between Line 96-97 remove the space	1. We were unable to locate a copy of IDF 5th edition. Our interlibrary loan office requires several days to locate and ship so we decided to leave this information as presented. 2. Since Ref #5 concerns end organ damage as related to diabetic –induced neuropathy we decided to include it. 3. This space has been removed.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A well-written/presented interesting review. The review is well confronted to the literature data. Very well deserves to be accepted for publication.	