



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_7140
Title of the Manuscript:	Histology of the Gonads and Liver of Oreochromis niloticus (L) fed Crude Extract of Azadirachta indica Leaf
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the abstract (line 12 and 13) the authors mentioned that the fishes were divided into 6 groups and each group was replicated three times, but in the point 2.3 (line 104) they cited that the fishes were divided into 4 groups and each group was replicated three times. There is not corresponds in the information. Line 105, What were the environmental conditions to which the experiment was carried? This aspect is necessary to include for knowing the environmental conditions of growing in the out door concrete tanks. Line 106, the authors said "4 experimental diets.." when in the abstract (line 14) and the section of the results (point 3, line 123, table 1 and 2) they mentioned "six experimental diets.." Line 116, I suggest at the authors that they include a reference. In the results (point 3) the authors not mentioned if size or weight of the fishes were impacted, therefore, is necessary to include this aspect. Line 224, to check as reported in the text the references	The fish were actually divided into 6 groups not 4. The "4" is an error, it has been corrected. The environmental condition as of the time the study was carried out was "a relatively humid" environmental condition. This has been included. The 4 there is an error, it has been changed to 6, as stated above. Author whose method was used has been inserted. Effects of the plant extract on the final weight of the experimental fish has been reported and published. This work was part of the on going work aimed at studying the effects on these organs. Line 224 has been corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	In the section of the references, these citations were not numbered and the last name of the first author, have comma. The authors need to check the guideline. There are parts that the authors took the article abstract, methods and results of Asian Journal of Agricultural Research 5(5): 277-282, 2011	All citations in the reference have been numbered. All commas in the last name of every author have been removed to reflect the journal style of referencing. This study was part of the on-going work reported in the Asian Journal of Agriculture (Same authors). Methods are the same but not plagiarised, the results of the histopathology in this study has never been reported nor published else were.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 220 “..as shown in Table 1 similar observation..”; to insert comma after Table 1,	This has been done.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Does gonadic maturity stages of the fishes were assessed in the experiment? For the content I consider and suggest that the manuscript is published as a note The authors mentioned that “The experiment conforms to the standard guidelines on animal use”, I assume that they did.	Gonadal maturation stages were not assessed because the researcher were interested in the long duration effect of feeding plant extract known to possess antifertility property on these organs. This is an original research work that followed the standard guide lines, it has not been published elsewhere nor submitted for consideration anywhere except that made to sciencedomain.