



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_7827
Title of the Manuscript:	Serum zinc and copper levels in children with Plasmodium falciparum infection in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Kindly re-check the Table-1 with the text (lines 201-204) regarding the statistical data. From table-1, BE PHC recorded highest rate in 6-10yrs but in text it is mentioned as 1-5yrs. Similarly, BC PHC and BR PHC had participants in 0-7days age group as evident from Table-1, but in text it is mented as BE PHC and BR PHC. Kindly clarify this confusion	This statement was meant for the overall rate of malaria. This has been corrected by inserting the word 'overall' at the beginning of the sentence. This has been corrected according to the reviewer's comment.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In exclusion criteria was wilson's disease and other diseases which could be due to deficiency or excess of zinc, copper were ruled out??What about nephrotic syndrome which is common in children and also show abnormalities in albumin levels	These were excluded. It was stated in the methods, that all children with other illness (es) outside malaria were excluded from the study.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall a well written and interesting study. I congratulate the authors for bringing out such a study incorporating different study centres in a single study.	Thanks.