



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_7866
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and Spectral Study, Theoretical Evaluation of New Binuclear Cr(III), Co(II) and Fe(III) Metal Complexes Derived From pyrane-2-one and its Biological Activity
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-In abstract and conclusion part, it was written that "complexes have tetrahedral structure ", however, in text it was written that "complexes have octahedral structure". It has to be corrected. -Why Co-complex showed the highest antibacterial activity? It should be explained via references. -In theoretical study part should be mentioned about HYPERCHEM-6 program in general. - It should be mentioned about molecular magnetism of complexes.	OK OK OK OK
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Abstract should be shortening. Conclusion part should be written again via given specific results, removing introduction sentence.	OK OK
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It could be put the photos of antibacterial activity of complexes.	Fig.(2) . Show the antibacterial activity of complexes.