



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_8079
Title of the Manuscript:	QUANTAL RESPONSE OF PERIWINKLE (TYMPANOTONUS FUSCATUS) AFTER EXPOSURE TO KEROSENE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Results: Table 1: Total mortality of <i>Tympanotonus fuscatus</i> in different..... I do not understand these numbers; First how many TF/treatment. Second what kind of statistics did u do for one number. Third how many times u repeat; u should mention in the table. Forth line 111; time duration (hrs or minutes). Fifth the irregularity of the results. I hope to be repeated again or I hope to find interpretation. Table 2 It is not clear. It is better to be percentage.	There were six treatment levels and three replicates. The statistical models applied were the ANOVA and the PROBIT analysis. The DMRT is used to separate the ANOVA where the differences existed. The probit is used to determine the lethal concentrations (LCs) and the lethal times (MLTs). The Anova is used to determine the mean and the standard deviatons which are then separated by the DMRT.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		