



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_8079
Title of the Manuscript:	QUANTAL RESPONSE OF PERIWINKLE (TYMPANOTONUS FUSCATUS) AFTER EXPOSURE TO KEROSENE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper is generally good and interesting, but it needs some minor reviews, that are marked with yellow on the pdf. Even as it is, I think it's ready to be published.	The marked areas has been corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I recommend eliminating the term "periwinkle" from the title and the paper's body, since it can be confused with a flower with the same name. I think the Latin name should be used instead, but I would leave that to be the author's choice.	The layman in Nigerian environment will not understand if only the Latin name is is pkept without the English name which is commonly known.