



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_9006
Title of the Manuscript:	Bioaccumulation and evidence of hormonal disruptions in tilapia fish (<i>Oreochromis spp.</i>) exposed to sub-lethal concentrations of pesticides in Sinaloa, Mexico
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 63. Add this topic the amount of aquarium used, its dimensions and individual total volume. Line 79. Add reference to the procedures in this topic Line 169. Discuss (with a single sentence) the metabolic effect of endosulfan as [26]. Line 172. Mention the types of injuries in accordance with systems [25]. Line 173. Referencing the phrase: "It reportedly does not accumulate in tissues and animals is eliminated by organisms in few days." Line 191. Remove "etc."	Line 63. The reviewer comment was attended, the aquaria size and volume were included: (50Lx30Wx40H cm.), 30 L. Line 79. There is not reference; it is just the experimental conditions established by authors, based in previous experiments in our Lab. Line 169 (now 177). We are just comment information reported by other authors, and in previous studies. The metabolic effect of endosulfan, is out of aim this work. Line 172 (now 179). injuries to the nervous, endocrine and reproductive systems [25]. Again, we are only comment results reported by other authors. Line 173 (now 181). We agree whit reviewer comment; therefore, a reference was added. [28]. Line 191. The reviewer comment was attended; etc. Was removed
Minor REVISION comments	Line 3. Format the scientific name for italics Line 4. Excess space in the word Mexico Line 34. Format the scientific name for italics Line 207. Check the spaces (before and after) each	Line 3. The reviewer comment was attended; we change to Italics (<i>Oreochromis spp.</i>) Line 4. The reviewer comment was attended Line 34. The reviewer comment was attended;



SDI Review Form 1.6

	reference.	We change to Italics (<i>Oreochromis spp.</i>) Line 207. The reviewer comment was attended; The spaces in references were corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- Please clarify ethical issue, if any	There are not ethical issue. Tilapias were not tortured.