



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_9370
Title of the Manuscript:	Emerging role of exosomal secretory pathway in human tumour virus pathogenesis
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The minireview article is interesting and important, but there are some errors.	Errors have been rectified as suggested by the Reviewers.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	String 31: what is 1? Table 1: the references should be in brackets; points of two last columns do not match (excess signs of tabulation). String 175: Nefenhances? 1.2.2 add supplementary information (there is only one sentence). There are some errors: string 35, 36, 40, 157, 206, 217, 219, 256, 258, 266. String: 228, 245 - naïve cells? Strings 262-263 – It necessary to paraphrase it. It necessary to transfer the Figure 3 to Introduction. String 249: Why “Other viruses” is underlined?	Deleted Corrected Table 1 Corrected Added more information These errors have been taken care of. “naïve cells” refers to unexposed and uninfected cells in context of viral infection Done Paraphasing Fig. 3 talks about the future directions and possible role of exosomes as diagnostic markers. This part is discussed in the conclusion so it is relevant to keep fig 3 in conclusion. “other virus” has been changed to “hepatitis B virus” as suggested by Reviewer 2. Underlining is done as per the formatting of III level subheading.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is necessary to refine the the pictures of Figures.	Pictures have been refined to improve clarity.