



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_9721
Title of the Manuscript:	(1H-pyrrolo [2,3-b] pyridine) 7-Azaindole as Cholinesterase/ Glycation inhibitors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It needs (1) grammar revision, (2) references must appear in order in the text, some abbreviations are not described correctly (e.g., HMQC), (2) poor conclusions,(3) many references lack of title of manuscripts, authors, different fonts, some authors are underlined and others not, and more, (4) some journal names in the reference section may be wrong or do not exist, which makes not possible to review the content related to the reference list. I would recommend the authors to rewrite the manuscript and carefully provide all the information in the reference section to be able to check their work.	(1) Grammar revision, has been done(2) Now references has been written in order in the text, some abbreviations has been described correctly (e.g., HMQC), (2) Excellent conclusion has been written now,(3) The missing title and authors of references has been written. Same fonts has been written, Now all authors are written in same font
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		