



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_6341
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Subchronic Exposure of Heavy metal Pollution on Soil Physicochemical Properties, Catalase and Dehydrogenase Activities.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I have gone through the revised version of the above manuscript and wish to state that although some effort was made to address previously raised flaws, the authors need to carefully review the language structure, paragraphing, indentation, semantics etc., of the entire manuscript and bring it in line with standards for international readership. E.g., Line 1-3 of the abstract might read: <i>"The effect of sublethal concentrations (100µg/dm³) of heavy metals such as Mercury (Hg), Lead (Pb) and Cadmium (Cd) on the soil enzyme and physicochemical properties was investigated after a period of one hundred and twenty days"</i> Line 5, 6, 8: Be consistent and follow format, it is either P<0.05 or p<0.05 not both. Introduction: Line 1-2, as above. Line 13-15: Cannot stand alone as one paragraph etc., Statistical Analysis: Line 2: Should the word not be "Comparison" Line 4: State the software and version used in computing the ANOVA. State the type of ANOVA that was done, one way or two way? Etc.,	Corrections have been effected according to reviewer's suggestions. Page 1 line 1 – 3 Correction shave been effected using P less than 0.05 (P<0.05) Page 1 line 5,6 and 8 One way ANOVA was used.