



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_8179
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant activity phenolics flavonoids and proanthocyanidins content of Senecio anteuphorbium

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Good day: Compulsory REVISION comments: In science is quantified as far as possible. The heat is not quantified but if you can do with temperature. So it is better to speak of a temperature range not only much or little heat. In each method relates a given temperature and which could refer throughout the results and discussion. I watched a determining factor in your experiment is the increase in temperature and time (decoction vs soxhlet). Minor revision comments: Follow newsroom bad. For example, in line 36 is not Sencecio Senecio. Then talk about the absorbance being maceration method that is part of the content of phenols. It is also 25 min and not 25 mn. Additionally you require to order the sequence of the legends and the figure caption. Thank you and I welcome your comments.	I replaced the word temperature with heat because it was asked by a reviewer. Decoction Vs soxhlet: in addition to the increase of temperature and time as it is demonstrated when we compared amounts obtained with decoction (60°C/1 hour) and soxhlet (80°C/16 hours) Sencecio corrected : Senecio 25 mn corrected: 25 min The sequence of the legends and the figures are ordered.