



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_8940
Title of the Manuscript:	Tissue Standards for Aging Research

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The overall quality of this manuscript was significantly improved after the revision. However, there are still some minor issues need to be addressed before being accepted. 1. The title of the manuscript is somewhat obscure and does not accurately reflect the objective and the content of the study presented. It is highly recommended that the authors modify the title. (eg. Tissue standards using stain-free method as a superior approach for aging research; or existing title plus a subtitle; etc.) 2. Some subjective language was used such as "the best", "all tissues", "the first time", "the largest study" (line 15, 89-92, 259, 303). This sometimes might be overstating and should be avoided in scientific publication. The authors could rephrase to something very objective, like "this is a method superior to XX in terms of lower variability and higher accuracy..."; "to our knowledge, this type of work was not previously reported", "in this study, the method with the highest reproducibility is...", etc. 3. It is good to see the authors filled in a lot more details to strengthen the introduction and discussion, and clarify methodology used and results obtained. However, a lot of the language and long sentences seem redundant and should be condensed. For example, in the Introduction, the pros and cons of conventional protein standards was discussed. While this is important, in quite a few places, the multiple sentences could be shortened and condensed. Similar problem in the discussion section. The authors could consider having an English speaking colleague read through and help revise the manuscript. The improvement of this manuscript after revision is clear, and the rating below reflects such change.	1. The title has been changed. 2. Subjective language has been eliminated. 3. Redundancy has been reduced.