SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	**2014_IJBcRR_8986**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PARTIAL PURIFICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF EXTRACELLULAR PROTEASE OF Shigella dysenteriae AND ITS INHIBITION BY THE VOLATILE OIL OF Thaumatococcus danielli** **Now titled "Assessment of Antibacterial Activity of Essential Oil Extracted From Leaves of *Thaumatococcus danielli* (Benn.) Benth. In Light of its Inhibitory Impact on Extracellular Protease of *Shigella dysenteriae*"**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
Lines 115-120. When I asked "how were prepared the cultures used in the antibacterial assays" I was not referring to medium preparation. Indeed, the sentence "A colony of each of the organism (≈1.0 x 10^6cfu) was incubated at 37°C for 24 hours" is now enough to me.	**It is OK. Thank you.**
Figure 1. Mistakenly, I had seen the number 250 instead of 750 in Figure 1 from the original version in PDF. The authors are correct and I apologize for the confusion.	**No problem.**
The explanation that authors do not have financial resources to perform a SDS-PAGE is fair and honest. Since there are no more sentences that refer to an implicit protein homogeneity, I do not see any more problem regarding this aspect.	**Thank you.** **All observations, assessments and corrections offered were highly appreciated; and we are glad to have our paper been published with you. Thank you.**