



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_8179
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant activity phenolics flavonoids and proanthocyanidins content of Senecio anteuphorbium

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Not put in maceration: Absorbance was measured using an UV-visible spectrophotometer (Thermo electron corporation, Biomate 3) This is for total phenolic, flavonoid or proanthocyanidin contents. Maceration method does not require reading absorbance. Lines 76,77. I disagree with the term heat. The reviewer was clear and explained heat as an increase in temperature. That seems more appropriate. Lines 181,237. And otherwise I thought it was great to raise arguments in their discussion.	Absorbance was measured using an UV-visible spectrophotometer (Thermo electron corporation, Biomate 3): deleted and then I added sentence: using UV-visible spectrophotometer: Thermo electron corporation, Biomate 3 for absorbance. I replaced word heat with temperature (80°C)