

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Tue, Dec 10, 2013 at 3:44 PM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: RE: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2013\_IJBcRR\_7336

After carefully reviewing the submitted documents, including first revisions from three referees and the revised manuscript from authors, I must conclude that the reviewing process has improved significantly the quality of the manuscript, the authors have modified the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments. However, and in order to improve the quality of the manuscript I would recommend the following actions:

1. What statistical test was used in the study?
2. A unique section (Results & Discussion) is recommended.
3. In figures, statistical significance by adding letters in histogram should be included but also the number of animals used in legends.
4. Authors reported to reviewers that previous data regarding SOD, CAT and GST were already published. However, they were not properly discussed in text.

**Editor's Details:**

Alfonso Clemen

Estación Experimental del Zaidín (EEZ-CSIC)

Department of Physiology and Biochemistry of Animal Nutrition

c/ProfesorAlbareda, Granada, Spain

**Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id**