SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_IJBcRR_9721**
Title of the Manuscript:	**(1H-pyrrolo [2,3-b] pyridine) 7-Azaindole as Cholinesterase/ Glycation inhibitors**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The work shows the biological activities of novel analoges (1H-pyrrolo [2,3-b] pyridine) 7-azaindole. The manuscript is well written, and may contribute to a greater number of citations to the journal because it is relevant in the scientific field. However, it requires some adjustments.** **The work is well written, but the references are too old. The conclusion must be rephrased.** **It is the weakness of the job as it is vague. Better specify completion and does not cover it in general. What analog was more specific? What substance should be further investigated in vivo?**	
Minor REVISION comments	The references are very old. Please include more updated references. If possible add references from 2010. Reformulate the conclusion	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer