



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_7590
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Apo B and TG/HDL ratio with HOMA IR model to predict insulin resistance in patients with metabolic syndrome
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment:	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The aim of the study was to evaluate TG/HDL ratio and Apo B levels in metabolic syndrome patients to predict Insulin resistance. The authors report that ApoB is more significant and a better predictor of IR than TG/HDL suggesting that it could be adopted in routine laboratory practice to predict insulin resistance. The title states "Comparison of Apo B and TG/HDL ratio with HOMA IR model" There was no data showing comparisons. There were only correlations. And comparisons of metabolic parameters for the patients and controls. The results are inconsistent regarding the correlation (r) values in the text and the figures as sometimes the correlation of TG/HDL with insulin resistance appears as 0.029 (line 101) and in the abstract it is 0.29 and figures. Similarly apoB shows different correlation values with IR such as in the figures showing 0.4 and in line (102) 0.04. Methodology: The authors mention 110 Patients and 50 were controls. The word patients should be removed. Also the number of recruited subjects is inconsistent as it appears as 110 in the abstract with details of grouping, and in the methodology it appears as 120 with no details of grouping. Methodology is incomplete as there is no description of kits, machines or material used in measurements Line 83: what analyzers. The results section is very short and missing information and	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	there is no mention of regression analysis in the results section. High TG values may affect the Friedewald's formula. The TG range should be stated. What parameters were included in the multiple regression analysis? There is no information about that in the results, and which parameters were non significant predictors? Redundancy of the results in the tables that were explained in unnecessary detail in the text. It is not clear if the correlation and regression analysis was done on patients only or for all subjects included in the study. If the regression was done only for the patients, what are the values for the controls in comparison? The results section is very short and missing information and there is no mention of regression analysis in the results section. Graphs presented are inconsistent considering the values on the Y axis for insulin resistance. The discussion contains information should in the results section such as regression results. No figure legends appear in the text. Line 125-127: States that "When combined multivariate analysis of TG/HDL and Apo B with HOMA IR was performed, the regression model indicated that TG/HDL ratio is a non significant variable whereas Apo B is strongly significant.	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	How did the model show a R^2 value of 0.087 indicating 8.7% variation attributed to TG/HDL when it was excluded from the model as insignificant? These results are not clear. What parameters were included in the regression model? There is no regression model shown for this study. Line 105: " In our study we have used HOMA IR, the widely accepted Model for assessment of IR and compared with both TG/HDL and Apo B. Are the authors comparing HOMA IR to apo B and TG?HDL or are they performing association analysis? Please rephrase. Also, the title should be different considering that the authors were presenting correlations only.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	References: 20: authors , commas ..etc 25, Year? 26: cir cir What is the refrence 169 Stating HDL-C and LDL-C throughout the manuscript has to be consistant as sometimes it appears as HDL. In the conclusion the term lipogenic applies to fat storage factors and not any lipid parameters. Rephrase	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript has major flaws. However, If the authors have all the data as declared, it needs to be rewritten to be acceptable.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer