



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                          |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>                                              |
| Manuscript Number:       | 2013_IJBcRR_8394                                                                                                         |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Comparative Levels of Total Creatine Kinase and MB-isoenzyme in Cord Blood between Vaginal Delivery and Cesarean Section |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                                                                |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The results and abstract report findings on 176 pregnant women yet the findings add up to a total of 172. Mean <math>\pm</math>SD total CK in NVD (n=95), ELCS (n=12) and EMCS (n=65) were <math>240.9 \pm 103.361</math> u/l, <math>233.75 \pm 113.817</math> u/l and <math>209.62 \pm 100.279</math> u/l respectively. This adds up to 172 not 176.</li> <li>2) There are many punctuation errors throughout the manuscript that need correction</li> <li>3) There are also misspellings like "neuromuscular" that need correction.</li> <li>4) Comment in the middle of discussion needs clarification of the use of "SC". What does it refer to.</li> </ol> <p>"CK levels of neonates during Normal Vaginal Delivery were higher than SC, in which the increase was due to the increase of CK-MM is enzyme .[18]"</p> | The findings in the manuscript are of value for the field of newborn screening by CK analysis. They also indicate that total CK is more valuable than MB is enzyme for screening.           |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                             |

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**